

Call to order at 7:00 p.m. 7:10 p.m.

Present: Lyndon Avery, Tim Kinnon-Alternate, Angela Bystrack, Keith Chamberlain, Marcella Perry-Chairman.

Appointment of Alternates Tim Kinnon

Statement of the Appeal Process

The Chairman read a brief statement of the Appeal process. The purpose of this hearing is to allow anyone concerned with an Appeal to the Board of Adjustment to present evidence for or against the Appeal. This evidence may be in the form of an opinion rather than an established fact, however, it should support the grounds which the Board must consider when making a determination. The purpose of the hearing is not to gauge the sentiment of the public or to hear personal reasons why individuals are for or against an appeal but all facts and opinions based on reasonable assumptions will be considered. In the case an appeal for a variance, the Board must determine facts bearing upon the five criteria as set forth in the State's Statutes. For a special exception the Board must ascertain whether each of the standards set forth in the Zoning Ordinance has been or will be met.

Approval of Agenda: Board reviewed agenda.

Motion by Keith Chamberlain to accept Agenda as presented, Seconded by Tim Kinnon, no discussion. Vote Unanimous.

Brief Recess at 7:13 p.m.

Reconvened 7:16 p.m.

K. Menici read the first case into the record.

NEW APPLICATIONS:

Case Z06-01

Map 5 Lot 31

Special Exception

Aaron L. Robidas

694 Suncook Valley Road (NH 28)

Special Exception from Article 300, Section 301, to allow the operation of a retail business for the sales of new radio-controlled vehicles scooters, mini-bikes, go-carts, and youth ATVs; and used ATVs, mini-bikes, motorcycles, watercraft, snowmobiles, and yard tractors. The property is located at 694 Suncook Valley Road and is in the Rural zone.

Mr. Robidas presenting on his own behalf.

A.Robidas: Suncook Valley and Motor Toy and Hobbies. Selling hobbies and motor vehicles, such as recreational. Sole partnership business. Business hours vary with season but generally Mon & Wed 9-5:30, Friday, 10 a.m. – 6:30 p.m., Saturday 9:00 a.m. – 3:00 p.m., closed Tuesday and Sunday. Offering also radio controlled vehicles. Basically clean appearance, items put in at night. Also offer clothing such as hats, jackets, gloves, protective gear, OHRV Registrations, NH Rules and Regulations handouts and maps. Posting dates for events held locally. All service work will be referred out to local businesses such as MD Small Engine Repair and Alton Motor Sports.

M. Perry: Opened to the Board for questions and discussion.

K. Chamberlain: Inquired as to other items being sold i.e. motorcycles, watercraft, yard tractors, snowmobiles.

A. Robidas: All used equipment except for RC cars, maybe 30 units tops. Only new items are RC cars.

M. Perry: Inquired as to consignment items.

A. Robidas: Informed it would be done on an individual basis

L. Avery: Inquired about sales only, no service.

A. Robidas: Informed he only has room for set up for new items; RC vehicles including cars and planes. Occasionally, putting tires on and setting handlebars.

L. Avery: Inquired if he would be a franchise dealer

A. Robidas: Customers would contact franchise direct. If repair was involved, the business would be moved.

L. Avery: Inquired about number of items displayed.

A. Robidas: Informed most will be inside, and about 6 items outside.

L. Avery: Inquired about road frontage, 45 ft shown on plans, concern about items being displayed and parking.

K. Menici: Stated ledging on right hand corner. Display will be in front of house, off to the side.

A. Robidas: Clarified where snow would be pushed on plan.

K. Chamberlain: Inquired to where streams are located.

A. Robidas: Indicated a washout stream runs along property line and is a seasonal stream.

A. Bystrack: Inquired as to test rides and space to do so with parking, trailers being pulled.

A. Robidas: Stated that typically no body does not do that due to insurance purposes. There are snowmobile trails in his back yard.

M. Perry: Inquired about DOT permission to change use of driveway.

K. Menici: Stated that Mr. Robidas will have to present Site Plan if the variance is granted.

K. Chamberlain: Inquired as to disposal and or storage of hazardous waste materials.

A.Robidas: Confirmed that gasoline is stored in small container, yes, but will not carry oils in store, and minimal gas stored in a back shed.

K. Chamberlain: Asked about any inside service work? Inquired as to last minute work that may be done outside.

A.Robidas: Confirmed that he will not be doing service work. Assured that this is not done. This is also his home and also has a dug well. Stated he is very conscientious regarding to spills of hazardous materials.

K. Chamberlain: Asked Town Planner if this property sells does this change of use transfer.

K. Menici: Stated new owners would have to come in and get a change of use if they were going to do something other than Robidas would be doing, they could do the same this Robidas would be doing.

L. Avery: Concern about any other owners following him that may not take care of property as he has or will do. Also, if a new owner did the same business as Mr. Robidas would he have to come in for a change of use?

K.Menici: Stated no. It would like a motel owner changing ownership; it would stay the same unless you were going to operate differently.

K.Chamberlain: Confirmed that the change of use will transfer to the next owner.

K. Menici: Confirmed yes.

K.Chamberlain: Inquired about garage makeup and noise factor.

A.Robidas: Informed that garage has a cement slab with one frost wall, all other are slab. Closest neighbor is next lot. Neighbor's name is Yelle.

A.Bystrack: Asked what items would be brought in at night?

A.Robidas: Informed there is 780 square feet should have plenty of room; all RC cars will be inside all the time. Does not have the bank for a large inventory so there will be a minimal about of items to go inside.

L. Avery: Inquired as to number of units.

A. Bystrack: Stated she did not see the number of displayed vehicles outside fitting in the garage space at night.

K.Menici: Asked what type of watercraft applicant is referring to.

A.Robidas: Confirmed only jet ski types.

T.Kinnon: Discussed what could fit into garage and confirmed that about 30 units could fit into the garage.

L.Avery: Shared concern about garage space.

A.Robidas: Confirmed that everything would be just stored, all crammed together for the evening.

L.Avery: Inquired regarding noise, not necessarily test runs just engine startup.

A.Robidas: this would be mostly during snowmobile season. Noise would be down to a minimum. Operating hours are during the day, not a night.

L.Avery: Inquired if he spoke to neighbors.

A.Robidas: Confirmed he spoke with the Yelle's.

M.Perry: Inquired as to topo and property lines and distances.

A. Robidas: Confirmed the abutter line is about 80 feet from the garage.

T.Kinnon: Inquired as to what kind of conversation he had with his neighbors.

A. Robidas: Stated he had conversations with the Yelle's and they did not have a problem with this change of use.

T. Kinnon: Informed that they have received a letter from the Yelle's and he listed concerns regarding traffic impact, noise. Stated that is why he was asking about the Yelle's.

M.Perry: Inquired to what other abutters are located.

A.Robidas: Stated there is one neighbor across the street, three abutters on Hamwoods, at 4-way on Hamwoods there is an abutter on the far corner, along with some empty lots.

K. Chamberlain: Asked topo questions.

A.Robidas: Stated the driveway is not paved, flat around house and gradually goes down in the backyard.

K.Chamberlain: Inquired as to morning noise factor.

A.Robidas: During summer no start up but winter, snowmobiles need to be started to move.

K.Chamberlain: Inquired as to abutter tree buffers.

A.Robidas: During summers no view, winter yes for snowmobiles.

L.Avery: Inquired as to a lot on the other side, lot 31-1.

A.Robidas: Owned by Robert Sterling, they live in Florida in the winter. Lot 33 is empty, lot 29 he is not sure about.

M. Perry: Inquired as to more questions from the Board. Open up to the public.

In favor of appeal – none representing.

In opposition of appeal: persons recognized.

Kim Dudzik and David Dudzik are abutters. They distributed photos that they have taken from their home that looks across the street to Mr. Robidas' home. Stated have prior experience to this business and there is a lot of activity to what they have been exposed to. As abutting neighbor living directly across the street they oppose the application for the special exception in zoning filed by Mr. Robidas for the following reasons: They assume both the Town and the State realize the importance of safety. They fear disaster waiting to happen with high speed on road. There is a lack of respect by the general public for the speed limit of 50. Referred to photos showing general cars driving by. When approaching their home you are coming up on the hill when the house comes in to view and very concerned regarding the traffic. One photo shows someone taking a test drive going south in the northbound lane doing a "wheelie." Informed the Board that the pictures taken within a 15 minute period. Additional concern regarding their driveway being used for turn about and possible parking. There is no shoulder on the road to pull of on. If special zoning is granted they are concerned what other things will be attempted to change for an expanding business. Stated concern this is still a growing rural residential area and would like to see it stay that way. Half of the windows in their house face this business. Positive that this business venture will diminish the value of their home. Feel regretful they need to represent this way.

M. Perry: Inquired if there were any other persons present to speak in opposition of this case. Closed the public session seeing and hearing no response. Then inquired if the Board had any further questions.

K. Chamberlain: Noted a point of order that Mr. Robidas needed a chance to respond to the presentation by the opposition.

A.Robidas: Stated that there are commercial lots (for sale) up the street not just residential rural. Also, stated he feels that there is plenty of room in the driveway for parking.

K. Chamberlain: Asked if Mr. Robidas is you running a business now.

A.Robidas: Stated, no he is just selling a few of his own vehicles (snowmobile, tractor) and a couple of friend's snowmobiles.

M.Perry: Close public session. Informed Mr. Robidas he can step down.

K. Chamberlain: Inquired of the Town Planner if this is a State road.

K.Menici: Confirmed this was a State road.

T.Kinnon: Stated he feels they need to not make a decision tonight and look into this decision. Feels that the Board needs to look carefully at the different aspects. Would like the Board's opinions to be in writing and deliberate at another meeting.

L.Avery: Stated he feels he has enough information to go through the worksheet and render a decision.

T. Kinnon: Stated he is looking for more information, he is saying he would like the facts laid out because making this decision to change this zoning he feels is an important decision for the Town that opens up new areas and this needs to be considered carefully.

K. Chamberlain: Stated he too has enough information to render a decision and does not want to make a decision later at another date.

L. Avery: Stated he would like to verbally discuss the issues now that they are fresh on the Board's mind and tends to get clouded with time.

Motion by T. Kinnon to not make a decision tonight on Case No. Z06-01. That the Board would deliberate tonight and return written opinions at a later date at the next regular meeting of the ZBA. Second by M. Perry. Vote was three votes for the motion and two opposed. Motion passed.

Board discussion regarding making the decision and deliberating, but not voting.

A.Bystrack: States she would like applicant to look at pictures and explain the picture of the vehicle riding in the road.

A.Robidas: He confirmed it was his cousin picking up his machine that was at his house. All vehicles belong to him except for one snowmobile that is for sale. Stated that he was turning around to load the vehicle on the truck.

T.Kinnon: Stated his concern about the location of the property, the parking area and its proximity to Route 28.

M.Perry: Stated her concern for not having clear information regarding side lot and neighbors.

T. Kinnon: Concerned that there are disgruntled abutters.

M.Perry: Stated that there has been no traffic study done to find about the sight distance and this property.

L.Avery: Feels the drawing is inadequate, not enough room in the yard for traffic, parking, and backing on to street.

T.Kinnon: Stated that Mr. Robidas has a significant amount of property and inquired if possibly he could use side street for entrance.

L.Avery: Stated that this could be done, but will cost to make some other areas.

K. Chamberlain: Stated his frustration discussion now is moot and should have been asked earlier.

This case will be continued and deliberated on February 2, 2006 at 7 p.m.

| | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Case Z06-02 | Map 35 Lot 1 | Special Exception |
| Paul Greaney, Trustee and William Fowler, Trustee | 125 East Side Drive (NH 28A) | |

Special Exception from Article 200, Section 220B.2. (c), to allow the expansion of a non-conforming structure beyond existing boundaries. The property is located at 125 East Side Drive (NH 28A) and is in the Lakeshore Residential zone.

Paul Greaney, Trustee and Tom Varney, Engineer for project are present for this case.

T.Varney: States Mr. Greaney and his brother-in-law have owned this property for 33 years and also the lot across the road. They have stated approved septic designed and installed in 1988. Distributed pictures of property. Owners would like to raise the cottage, put a foundation underneath, this structure has I-beams that were put in 15 years ago, and the floor is sagging. There are zoning and shore land protection make this impossible to move cottage. They would like to raise it up 5 ft. to have a crawl space to use for mechanical service and get it off the ground. This would not be raised up 8 feet and change the character of the building. This would blend in with road as the house currently is below the road level. This building has seasonal town water, meets the 10 ft setback from the neighbors (Hoagland). The building is situated too close to the lake and too close to the road so the only thing they can do to repair the floor is go up 5 feet.

P. Greaney: Stated they have owned cottage since 1972 and feels they keep the cottage in good appearance. This is the second time the floor has been done. The first time I-beams were placed under the floor. Currently, the building and the wood part of the sills in the back are in the dirt. Also have no access to plumbing. Floors are sagging. Fireplace needs repair or replaced which will need to be done if the house is raised. Basically they will be insuring the structural integrity. Neighbor on Wolfeboro side is in favor of the project and was not able to come because of snow. There is no one on the other side of the house and they own across the street.

K.Chamberlain: Inquired to the Town Planner if abutters were notified within 500 feet of the property with any opposition.

K. Menici: Confirmed they were all notified and they have not received any opposition.

K. Chamberlain: Discussed concern about the construction and the small, busy road, and how will they keep equipment off the road during the project.

P.Greaney: Does not know how they will do this. Has not hired anyone yet to do this project. This

will be a factor on who he decides. Confirmed they have the lot across the street for holding 10-12 cars.

M. Perry: Inquired if the Building Inspector had any concerns about this project.

K. Chamberlain: Concerned about equipment working on the house and asked when this project would be worked on.

P. Greaney: Stated the project would not be started until after the summer season (after Labor Day).

T. Varney: Stated he has viewed the property and it is flat around the building and there will not be much excavation. They will only be putting footings in right below the ground and build a concrete wall up. They will have to bring in some dirt for building up to the level of the road.

M. Perry: Stated from the pictures that the house is quite a bit lower than the road and asked if run-off is a problem.

L. Avery: Asked how much concrete would be exposed?

P. Greaney: Informed between 4 and 5 feet above the ground, it depends on the contractor and also the level of elevation to the road. Confirmed that the maximum will be 5 feet above the ground. Footing will be underneath that. They want to change the looks of the property as little as possible. They have put this project off for so many years due to the fact that they don't want to change the looks of the property, but now the structural problem has come to the point where doors do not shut, plus the chimney needing work. They just want to make it better and safer and work on utilities. They do not have hot water because they have not had access to underneath the cottage.

K. Chamberlain: Inquired of Mr. Varney to who has jurisdiction of runoff protection?

T. Varney: Confirmed this is responsibility of the owner through the contractor.

K. Chamberlain: Expressed concerned about cement trucks and traffic and limited space due to the fence.

P. Greaney: Stated they would be doing work after Labor Day and the fence is removable also the water is lower after Labor Day.

A. Bystrack: Inquired about the snow (from a picture) up against the cottage, will this help raise it up where the sill would become rotted due to water damage with the five feet.

P. Greaney: Stated the snow is drifted and not plowed against. Stated there is a gully between the road and the building.

K. Chamberlain: Inquired to Mr. Varney what benchmark location did he use to determine the sill height?

T. Varney: There is a nail on a telephone pole and the benchmark elevation was taken off the disk that is down by Dockside Restaurant and that is listed on the plat plan.

M. Perry: Inquired for the public to speak in opposition – none heard or seen. Inquired for the public to speak in favor of – none heard or seen. Closed the public session. Confirmed from the Town Planner that no correspondence was received.

K. Menici: Confirmed that no correspondence has been received.

T.Kinnon: Feels this is a well thought out project and request, agrees with project.

M. Perry: Confirmed the plat has been accepted by the Town Planner

A.Bystrack: Stated she is in favor of the project.

K. Chamberlain: Stated to the Chairman that each Board member needs to confirm each item on the worksheet.

The Worksheet was read and all items were affirmatively confirmed by all Board members.

Motion T. Kinnon moved to approve Case Z06-02 as submitted, Seconded by A. Bystrack, Vote unanimous.

Motion at 8:56 p.m. for a 5 minute recess – vote unanimous.

Reconvened at 9:15 p.m.

CONTINUED APPLICATIONS:

Case#Z05-33

Map14 Lot 21

Use Variance

New England Nominee Trust

486 East Side Dr. (Route 28A)

David J. Fenton Jr. Trustee

Application submitted by Industrial Communications & Electronics d.b.a Unicel on behalf of the property owner, New England Nominee Trust, David J. Fenton Jr. Trustee, for a Use Variance from Article 300, Section 301 and Article 200, Section 270, to construct a telecommunication tower outside the specified Telecommunication Overlay District. The property is located 486 East Side Dr in the Lakeshore Residential Zone.

Don Cody, Earl Duval-Counsel for Industrial Communications and RCC, and Kevin Delaney were representing the case.

K. Menici: Stated to the Chairman that at the last meeting the Board requested for a radio frequency engineer review test done on the application and have received an estimate (from CMA Engineering). Because of the special nature of this application, the review engineer that the Town typically uses obviously would not have the qualifications to conduct this type of review. She spoke with Town Council as well as the Town's Review Engineer; Town Council has had the opportunity to work with

Mark F. Hutchins out of Brattleboro, VT, who did a similar type of review for another municipality in New Hampshire. She contacted Eric Rider who is the contact representative at CMA Engineers and he too was familiar with Mr. Hutchins' experience and contacted them on behalf of the Town of Alton to obtain a cost estimate to have that review completed. She has received professional qualifications of Mark Hutchins of which the Board has a copy. Mr. Hutchins is the one who would conduct the review on behalf of the Town and he has submitted an estimated cost of approximately \$4,900 to complete the review. The scope of services are outlined on the sheet entitled Assignment, Number 13 and before the Board can go forward and order the review the Board has to make a motion and vote on whether or not to accept the estimate as presented.

M. Perry: Inquired of the Board if they have had an opportunity to read the Assignment proposal by the engineer and if the applicant was furnished with the same information.

Board discussion on how long this review process would take and that this could possibly take 4-6 weeks to receive a report.

Board discussion on this quote from contractor and concern that there is only one estimate to choose from.

D.Cody: Stated he does not have a problem with this person. Informed that Mr. Hutchins' fee is approximately 40-50% higher than others they have used and there are many others that do this type of review. Asked if this is for both sites.

K. Menici: Confirmed that this is for both sites. The engineer would be looking at the 4 existing overlay districts and the two proposed locations.

E.Duval: Asked that if the information that was requested at the last meeting and has been presented to the Board could be provided to whom ever is chosen to perform the review.

K. Menici: Stated the fact that the applicant has submitted the information tonight and it has been presented to the Board makes it part of the record.

Motion by T.Kinnon that the Town Planner obtain two additional quotes from professional engineers with experience with municipalities in cellular and wireless coverage to meet the same criteria as set forth by the first engineer. Second K.Chamberlain. Vote unanimous.

Discussion regarding view materials and when case could be heard separately by itself.

Motion by T.Kinnon to schedule a meeting on January 23, 2006 at 6:30 p.m. to review additional quotes from consulting engineers and to accept a quote at that time and that this meeting is only to review quotes and accept one at this meeting. Second Lyndon Avery, Vote unanimous.

D.Cody: Expressed appreciation to the Board and asked if there is any other information needed they would be more than happy to provide any additional information.

E.Duval: Asked to address the Board. Informed that the first document is a brief analysis of NH Variance Law including relevant NH cases. The second submission is the analysis from the telecommunications act with specific cases, as requested, from the US Supreme Court, the First Circuit, the Court of Appeals, and outside jurisdictions as well, along with a brief analysis of the TCA. The presentation entitled Telecommunications Overlay District Analysis map on page 15 which is the compilation of the four overlay districts as requested by the Board. The next document entitled Site Investigation Analysis of Alternative Sites there was a question as to what sort of analysis did they do outside the overlay district and this is a presentation of those efforts along with an affidavit with several exhibits submitted by Kevin Delaney in support of all of those efforts. He would also like to emphasize, as Don had suggested, at the 23rd hearing, if there is anything that you can vote on that the Board would like them to bring or work on that would be helpful to the Board, helpful to the retained expert, please let them know and they will do whatever they can to collect that.

Motion by K.Chamberlain to continue Case Z05-33 until the February 2, 2006 regularly scheduled meeting. Second by T. Kinnon. Vote unanimous.

Case#Z05-34 Map14 Lot 21 Area Variance
New England Nominee Trust 486 East Side Dr. (Route 28A)
David J. Fenton Jr. Trustee

Application submitted by Industrial Communications & Electronics d.b.a UniceL on behalf of the property owner New England Nominee Trust, David J. Fenton Jr. Trustee for an Area Variance from Article 200, Section 228 to construct a telecommunication tower 120' in height. The property is located 486 East Side Dr in the Lakeshore Residential Zone.

Motion by K.Chamberlain to continue Case Z05-34 until the February 2, 2006 regularly scheduled meeting. Second by M. Perry. Vote unanimous.

Case#Z05-31 Map19 Lot 8-2 Use Variance
Robert's Knoll Campground, LLC 1439 Wolfeboro Highway

Application submitted by Industrial Communications & Electronics d.b.a UniceL on behalf of the property owner, Robert's Knoll Campground, LLC, for a Use Variance from Article 300, Section 301 and Article 200, Section 270, to construct a telecommunication tower outside the specified Telecommunications Overlay District. The property is located 1439 Wolfeboro Highway and in the Rural Zone.

Motion by K.Chamberlain to continue Case Z05-31 until the February 2, 2006 regularly scheduled meeting. Second by A. Bystrack. Vote unanimous.

Case#Z05-32 Map19 Lot 8-2 Area Variance
Robert's Knoll Campground, LLC 1439 Wolfeboro Highway

Application submitted by Industrial Communications & Electronics d.b.a UniceL on behalf of the property owner Robert's Knoll Campground, LLC, for an Area Variance from Article 200, Section 228

to construct a telecommunication tower 120' in height. The property is located at 1439 Wolfeboro Highway and in the Rural Zone.

Motion by K.Chamberlain to continue Case Z05-32 until the February 2, 2006 regularly scheduled meeting. Second by A. Bystrack. Vote unanimous.

OTHER BUSINESS:

1. Approval of Minutes of the November 3, 2005, November 17, 2005 meetings.
2. Old Business
3. New Business
4. Correspondence
5. Any other business that comes before the Board.

M. Perry: Suggested that the approval of minutes on be done on the 23rd of January.

K. Menici: Stated that all minutes were not getting in front of the Board for approval. Had the secretary go back through the files today to see whether they had been marked as approved. If they had not been marked as approved, she also went through subsequent months to check and it just did not get corrected in the record that they had been approved. There actually were some that had approved that never got corrected approved minutes. They were continued to be listed as drafts. She did get those taken care of. She stated she gave Marcella a packet of all of the minutes that are outstanding, that are still draft minutes and they have some that go back to the month of June. The other Board members do not have copies of the unapproved minutes that were given to M. Perry tonight.

M. Perry: Listed the outstanding minutes. June 2, 2005 work session and regular session; August 4, 2005; August 25, 2005; November 3, 2005; December 1, 2005.

K. Menici: Stated all the Bahre hearings were verbatim, and the recording secretary's signature is proof enough. Kathy will get copies of the outstanding minutes to the Board members.

M. Perry: Discussed some blanks in the December 1, 2005 meetings, noted that Tim seconded the first motion and that Tim made the second motion and Angela seconded the motion. On page 8, motion was made by Tim Morgan and Second by Angela.

Note: From this point forward it was moved (further in the meeting) that minutes be recorded as verbatim.

K. Chamberlain: We still need to elect officers. You guys ought to read the by-laws.

M. Perry: We are on old business. Are we going to vote on officers?

T. Kinnon: I think we need to do that tonight, absolutely.

A. Bystrack: I don't feel comfortable voting at this time until issues are addressed on professional conduct behind closed doors.

K. Menici: Unfortunately that will have to be in a public meeting.

A. Bystrack: Then schedule a meeting because I think there is a lot of hostility issues that we have to deal with and procedure issues that we have to deal with, because I don't like the way that the Board is going right now. I don't feel comfortable electing people to represent all of us until we clear the air.

T. Kinnon: The meeting is still in session, isn't it?

L. Avery: This is still open.

K. Menici: This meeting is still in session. Yes, it is, you have not adjourned.

T. Kinnon: Well, we can discuss; I do think we do need to talk about it tonight cause it has gone on too long.

M. Perry: I think so too.

T. Kinnon: Yes, Keith, I think you need to take a breath. I really do. You have been confrontational, adversarial; you have not given anybody an opportunity to think. And you have been so far, or so close to Marcy you are making her nervous and you are not giving her time to do her job

M. Perry: The biggest thing is that it's a power thing and it shouldn't be because it needs to be, we just need to work together to get these cases through and it isn't performing, it shouldn't be confrontational, it should not be.

T. Kinnon: No.

M. Perry: It shouldn't be even to the applicants at times it is and it shouldn't be, or to one another.

T. Kinnon: It should be kept to the facts and that's it.

M. Perry: Yes, If there is an issue, it's not a matter of, I mean, I run a business, you run a business, it's not a matter of power, it's a matter of working through things and yes, it may take time to learn the procedures, but there is no way to learn them if somebody is barking down your throat all the time.

L. Avery: I agree, and I agree with Tim. Keith, as I said earlier tonight, you do need to back off. And for you to think that you are the only one on this Board that knows anything is (explicative). Nobody . . .

K. Chamberlain: Do me a favor and keep the swearing down while we are in a public session . . .

L. Avery: Nobody on this Board is going to take to it and you have been this way ever since I have been on this Board and there is no need of it. People have left this Board because of you whether you think so or not.

M. Perry: Keith, you can bring a lot to the Board as far as knowledge and as far as asking questions but it doesn't have to be to demean someone else. We are hear learning, it's always a learning process, but we to work together as a Board.

T. Kinnon: And respectfully.

M. Perry: Yes.

K. Chamberlain: Okay, let me respond. Number 1, I get frustrated because none of you people seem to know the regulations, you are not following the by-laws, and you are not electing officers when you should. You are not a very good chairman. You are making mistakes in the actual points of law and points of order. I try to correct you. It gets frustrating to me to have to correct you all the time. Maybe Kathy should do it, if she knows well enough about the regulations of how to run a meeting. But all of you, and I will emphasize this to all of you, there is a strict procedure that you have to follow in this Board. You can't just, as you said, O let the mistakes go. It doesn't work that way. Let me speak.

L. Avery: I don't believe I said that, Keith.

K. Chamberlain: You did, you just said that to me. Let her go, let it go you said. No you can't let mistakes go on this Board. You can't not have a vote. You can't not have everybody voting and a record of the voting. That's important. All that stuff is important.

L. Avery: Let her go, leave her alone because you are making her nervous. Just like Tim said.

K. Chamberlain: No, no. That isn't true. There's a lot of times that after we are ready to go on to something else and that's when I have to stop the meeting to make sure it is done . . .

M. Perry: No you don't, many times you can't get a word out before you are already telling people what to do.

L. Avery: You are absolutely right, Keith. There are procedures to follow. You follow the chairman, you go through the chairman and you show the chairman respect. Whether or not you think Marcy can do the job or not, you show that chair respect.

K. Chamberlain: I don't think she can, number one, well enough to be sitting in that chair.

L. Avery: That right there is the problem.

T. Kinnon: I don't think you can either. I mean to talk so much that you give yourself away, every time. And I had to say it to you in the Gaynor case; you speculated that the Gaynor case was going to go to Superior Court. . . .

K. Chamberlain: I would recommend that you don't talk about case specific.

T. Kinnon: No, no, no.

K. Chamberlain: See, you don't even know what you are talking about.

T. Kinnon: Yes, I do know what I'm talking about.

K. Chamberlain: I'm walking out now.

T. Kinnon: You're walking out.

A. Bystrack: Well, that's the problem.

M. Perry: Also, Keith there are times. . . .

T. Kinnon: Why don't you resign, Keith? If you are not going listen to people why don't you resign? You think you know everything about the Zoning Board? If you know everything there is then it's time for you to resign.

K. Chamberlain: I know a lot more than you, Kinnon.

T. Kinnon: Oh yeah, okay.

M. Perry: Keith there are times, when people will ask questions . . .

A. Bystrack: It's not fair to monopolize.

T. Kinnon: Your arrogance is getting in the way of the facts, Keith.

K. Chamberlain: You interrupt and you interfere with my questioning. It's not a perfect world isn't it?

M. Perry: It's not a perfect world, but I'd like to give other people on the Board and opportunity to speak. . . .

K. Chamberlain: Ya, well you don't cut me off when I'm in the middle of questioning to let somebody else speak.

L. Avery: You cut others off, Keith.

A. Bystrack: And you do it more hostilely.

M. Perry: You monopolize, Keith instead of allowing certain people to talk and them come back . . .

K. Chamberlain: This Board has turned into a joke. He's over there coming up with things tonight he wasn't even paying attention to the worksheet.

T. Kinnon: I made one mistake.

A. Bystrack: Everybody can make mistakes.

T. Kinnon: I made one mistake. I made one mistake.

K. Chamberlain: You don't think I'm supposed to allow that to go unquestioned?

T. Kinnon: You don't make mistakes, Keith?

M. Perry: What a minute, I . . .

T. Kinnon: You are perfect? Please. Get down off your high horse, Keith, you are not perfect. You make a lot of mistakes.

M. Perry: Keith, I have sat over here when you were acting chair and tried to pass to you note to correct you.

T. Kinnon: I am going to make a motion.

Motion – by T. Kinnon to recommend Marcy Perry as Chairman of the Zoning Board of Adjustments. Seconded by Lyndon Avery. Vote unanimous.

T. Kinnon: So now you are officially the Chairman of the Board. We need a vice chairman.

Motion – by T. Kinnon to nominate Lyndon Avery as Vice Chairman. Seconded by M. Perry. Voted unanimous.

A. Bystrack: But Lyndon, I would appreciate it if you would keep the swearing down to a minimum, to keep professional conduct as well.

M. Perry: I think he just go a little. . . .

A. Bystrack: Well there is lots of time I'd like to certainly swear but when I am in the public persona, I have to keep a lid on it. I'm really not getting on your case; I just want to remind you.

L. Avery: No, I understand and I am sorry if anyone was offended, but I was very upset.

A. Bystrack: I know.

L. Avery: I know that you didn't hear what transpired between Keith and I earlier tonight when I told him to calm down and let Marcy run the meeting. . . .

A. Bystrack: It's not fair.

L. Avery: Whether he liked it or not she was the Chairman.

T. Kinnon: Absolutely. You have to respect the position, bottom line.

L. Avery: He went on just as you just saw right now.

A. Bystrack: The entire time.

M. Perry: The worst thing is that, Tim, you people, everyone of you ask or speak when you have a question, Madam Chair, and I can't control the meeting when somebody takes off on their own.

A. Bystrack: It's not fair to monopolize and to be disrespectful when interrupting

M. Perry: The reason I do try to interrupt him, once in a while, is because it may go on for half to three quarters of an hour and nobody, everybody sits and you don't have an opportunity to present a different issue to even to, it's difficult for anyone else to interject into a

T. Kinnon: Excuse me, Marcella, I don't mean to interrupt, but at this point I really feel we need to stop talking about Keith, cause he is not here to defend himself. Okay, I really do think so. The only thing, if we have a couple of minutes, I would like to bring up one more thing.

M. Perry: Yes.

T. Kinnon: I think when we get legal counsel we really do need to pay attention to them and listen to them. I'm not attacking you Lyndon, but I feel that it was a mistake to attack the Board of Selectmen only because it weakened our position and Attorney Waugh had mentioned that if you read that part of your letter, then it could show prejudice. You know what I mean? And we all do it and I know you get very emotional as I do, and believe me, if my wife saw me at these meetings she wouldn't believe it's the same person sitting there.

M. Perry: The thing is it's a

L. Avery: But I did feel that it was

T. Kinnon: I absolutely agree with you, and to say that outside

K. Menici: Men, this is really case sensitive, it's still in the appeal period.

T. Kinnon: I know.

M. Perry: But, okay, but in general everybody is trying, there are set procedures to follow and so that's whether it's Selectmen or the Board here, everybody has to respect the fact that there are set procedures.

T. Kinnon: Right and it all boils down to respect.

M. Perry: In the heat of the moment.

T. Kinnon: Whether or not you agree with the person, that's a whole other issue or agree with what another board is doing. It's a whole other issue; it's a matter of respect.

A. Bystrack: Well, you can always agree to disagree, but you can do it respectfully and professionally and without being biased.

T. Kinnon: Exactly. And the only way that you can effect change is being part of the process not by walking out on it.

A Bystrack: Well.

T. Kinnon: We've got our officers.

M. Perry: Now we really need to schedule a work session. We really need a work session.

K. Menici: Can I make a suggestion on that? Let's wait until, there is obviously the cell tower applications, because there is four of them, because of the complexity of the issue, there very well may be a need to have a special meeting to deal with those. Rather than start stacking too many meetings on top of yourselves, get a little bit further into the process. . . .

M. Perry: But we can't keep putting it off, is our problem.

T. Kinnon: Unless another big case comes up.

K. Menici: Wait until, let's wait and see what the timing is going to be on engineering review on the 23rd and that will give the Board a better idea of the timing requirements for those applications, and then maybe at the meeting on the 23rd discuss then possible dates for a work session. I wouldn't do that tonight though. I would wait just because

M. Perry: There are procedures that I need, like what do you do when you get this type of a case in here, you know, for approvals.

K. Menici: And the Town attorney is available to you do discuss those kinds of things. The procedure is that the Chair person of the Land Use Board and the Land Use Board is obviously the Planning Board, ZBA and the Conservation Commission. And it's primarily for the Planning Board and the ZBA. The Chairperson can contact Town counsel directly. You don't have to go through me to do it. And he is a resource for you and if the Board members have any questions that they want asked of Town counsel, those questions go to the Chairperson and then she in turn will go to the Town attorney with the question and they decide between them that it is best for him to talk directly to the person who is asking the question, but that is the initial contact. So anytime you have any questions whatsoever, for counsel you do put them through your chairperson or you can put them through me, whichever you prefer, and then depending upon the complexity of the question, the complexity of the issue, if you

were to call me and say I have a question about this, could you ask Jim for me and I call Jim up and I run it by him, he might say give me their phone number and let me talk to them directly rather than feeding it back and he might do the same thing . . .

M. Perry: He is very good about getting back.

A. Bystrack: Jim is our regular attorney.

K. Menici: Jim Sessler is Town counsel, he is regular Town counsel.

A. Bystrack: Cause I didn't know who he was.

K. Menici: You have not met him yet. Right, and the reason, and this is more for Angela's understanding, I am sure the rest of the Board understands, because of the Selectmen filing the appeal on the Bahre case and Jim Sessler's relationship with the Town and he's got to represent, there are situations where he has to represent the Board of Selectmen, sometimes he has to represent the ZBA, sometimes he has to represent the Planning Board and because the issue for the Bahre case is between ZBA and the Board of Selectmen he was concerned that if he represented one that it would tarnish his relationship with the other Board and the Selectmen agreed with him and that is why they agreed to hire outside counsel for the ZBA and the them, just for that case.

A. Bystrack: Other than that every other case goes through attorney Sessler as far as we are concerned.

K. Menici: Correct. He is available to do work sessions, with the Board, you should be aware of that. I have actually had him come and be, I think he looks at it as a mixed blessing, because I have been calling him and asking him to come over and do work sessions with the Planning Board. Alton is growing folks and we are going to be seeing far more complicated issues coming before all of the Land Use Boards than what we have seen in the past. As the issues become more complex it starts getting a little scary.

T. Kinnon: That's why I feel now is the time to lay the ground work and on any case, I'm going to talk case specific . . .

K. Menici: We can do work sessions. We have done some Board development. . . .

T. Kinnon: We need to slow it down a little bit. On some cases, you know, easy enough you can go through it that night and other cases I think you got to look at the applications and what is going to happen down the road.

K. Menici: One of the things that when we do have a work session to finish up the review of the applications made modifications to that, we can also talk about what kind of work sessions, if any, the Board would like to have with Town counsel and we can start to set those up. Like I said, I started doing that with the Planning Board probably

M. Perry: It's nice to know what things you can ask the applicant

K. Menici: And I'll tell you that the Board has found it very, very helpful. I was concerned when I first started doing it; that number one I was kind imposing on Jim and taking up even more of his time than I already take up and I didn't know how the Planning Board was going to feel about having a work session with Town counsel that was going to require another evening commitment. And the Planning Board has really, they are far more confident now when they are in front of applicants and have a much higher comfort level on the kinds of issues that they can address and I'd like to be able to do the same thing for the ZBA. I really think that the Planning Board has grown tremendous as a Board as a result of those work sessions and that is an opportunity that's available to this Board also.

T. Kinnon: We had talked about that before and we all agree that we need to do it, with the cases we have gotten the last half of the year. . . .

K. Menici: The same thing with the Planning Board. Planning Board has seen the same kind of flip-flop, very challenging, very challenging cases and as the Town continues to grow we are going to see more and more of that. So we can talk more about that at the 23rd cause that will obviously be a very brief meeting to discuss the engineer review and then we can spend part of that, a little bit more of that time talking about, obviously, a work session to finish up the applications but other kinds of work sessions that the Board would like to do and talk about some timing for that if you want.

A. Bystrack: At the 23rd we would set . . .

M. Perry: Asking what, knowing what things we can ask and expect from applicants, I know on the Planning Board I was familiar that, but I am not really as familiar here to what things we can ask.

L. Avery: Pretty much, my impression is if there is something that think we need to make an informed decision, then I think we are entitled to ask for that.

K. Menici: Within the scope of your authority and your authority is the Zoning Ordinance. . . .

M. Perry: See that when we were talking about issues with roads or issues with sight, that is really, it's difficult to make a decision because that's a Planning issue and not here and that's tough. How would you get around it?

K. Menici: Alright, and some, I mean there is a fine line in some issues and that's why I think these are the kinds of things, something like this would be a great work session to have with Sessler. I could even go back in and pull some old cases that have been decided and obviously are well outside of the appeal period and maybe even kind of using that as a jumping off point to help

T. Kinnon: That's a good idea.

A. Bystrack: Yes.

L. Avery: In reference to sights, I mean, Kenny could help on that. I think, if I remember right, for a driveway permit on a town road in the Town of Alton he likes to have 200 feet on either side of sight distance. That's what he likes to have. Now that's on a town road with an average speed of 30 MPH, speed limit of 30 MPH. You get on a State road that's 50 or 55 MPH and that opens up more and I

think we could not, we are not completely without resources. We could ask Kenny and we could even contact the State but I am sure that Kenny would know right off the top of his head what it might be for a State road. You know there's one resource

K. Menici: Well, that's when we an application that's a special exception, the Board, on a variance application, the Board's scope of authority is the variance criteria, that's what you are supposed to limit your review to, but when we get to a special exception, the ZBA almost, in a way, steps into the shoes of the Planning Board and we require the same type of submission materials that would typically go before the Planning Board and in that kind of a situation you would get more into the traffic and not on case of tonight.

L. Avery: Alright, these worksheets, okay, special exception worksheet, when we go for a special exception we need to find in the affirmative each of the 8 questions, so in that light this is what we need to find out, any information that would help us find out the answer to each one of these eight questions is askable.

K. Menici: That's right and that's why the submission requirements for a special exception are different from those of a variance and the submission requirements for a special exception more closely parallel the submission requirements of Planning Board, because Planning Board looks at all those kinds of issues and in a special exception application the ZBA should be taking those same issues into consideration; that's why you get more detail, you get more detail drawings, for instance, the one that Tom Varney presented tonight, and that's the type of plat that would be submitted to the Planning Board for any kind of an application actually that goes in front of the Planning Board except for a minor site plan review which is what the other special exception application tonight is and I can't obviously say anything more on that; and basically what I do when I get an application in for a special exception because we don't really have very clear guidelines in our application, and that's one of the things, cause I don't like to have people come before the Board and be told, gee sorry, you don't have enough information here, we can't act on this case, go away and come back again. That's one of the reasons why I have wanted to have a work session with the Board, really since I took the position, to talk about the application forms themselves because I think they are very complicated, not only for the applicant but I think they are confusing for the Board too because it's just that one application package with everything in it and finding where you need to be and the applicant trying to figure out what information they need, but with that application packet we don't have clear guidelines on what the Board wants to see for submission materials and what's happened since I have come here is that I have noticed that we could have two nearly identical applications, you know with the exact same issue, we've got two different applicants coming in for a variance or a special exception, absolutely identical in what they are requesting and I have seen a situation, and had people submit the same type of materials with their applications to support their request and there have been situations where the Board has said, gee, okay, yes, we have accepted the application and moved on and in another situation, they have said, oh no, this isn't enough and sent them away and made them do more. And I just want to make sure that we are being consistent in what we are requiring of people, because you just don't want to give somebody the opportunity to say, oh look, this guy came in for a special exception, he wanted to jack up his house and put in a foundation, and this is all the Board required of him but now they want me to go out and get a full survey done to do the same thing. They are discriminating against me; I'm going to take this to court and challenge it. I don't want to go there. You know, I want to be consistent in what, when somebody comes to the counter, I want to be able to

tell them exactly what it is the Board wants to see from them and help them put that packet together; instead of standing there and saying well, gee, you one time the Board accepted this for the submission materials and another time they required that, you make a guess in what they are going to want from you.

L. Avery: And that's an issue that I have when some of the drawings that people present. They are not much more than a few scribbles on a napkin, almost. It's not enough information.

K. Menici: Well, if you look at the application packet that currently is the approved application, that's all that's required of them. That's all that's required.

L. Avery: You know, when they ask for waivers all the time, that all comes from that 2 or 3 page list of provide for wavier requests.

K. Menici: But let me just see if I

L. Avery: Like you mentioned Tom's drawing tonight, that was complete. It had everything you needed to know and was listed on it.

K. Menici: Right.

L. Avery: That's not required at the Zoning Board level?

K. Menici: No it is not. As a matter of fact, Marcy you had the sheet out when we were talking about the first application and you had a sheet that you pulled out, here it is, I've got it right here. This, I've got it right here. This, I'll make a copy of this but this is what is in the application and this is what the application tells people they have to submit. You can have a look at this. . . .This is exactly, this is what is in the application packet. This is what the application packet says people have to provide and if you look . . .

L. Avery: Here's one that was not included and whatnot, different things, different times. A lot of people, they don't get these set back lines and with this piece of paper, would give us more information that what we see given to us.

K. Menici: Would this give you more?

L. Avery: No, at times when this piece of paper has more information on it than what's given to us.

K. Menici: Yes.

A. Bystrack: Yes.

K. Menici: But that's why I am trying to put together, I want to put together better and more clear instructions for the applicants and what it is that they need to provide so there is absolutely nothing that's open to question.

A. Bystrack: And that would be quicker and easier, I think, I definitely. . . .

K. Menici: And that's something that we can take up in more detail on the 23rd, so it's something that people can start, I'm actually kind of glad that we are having this conversation now, cause it will give you all something to think about between now and the 23rd and you can start jotting down some notes when you are thinking about the different kinds of applications and I did the same thing with the Planning Board and I'm still trying to finalize submission requirements with them also. But just so that we can be very clear about what an applicant needs to provide, I hate having somebody come before the Board and be told, no sorry, it's not enough information, go back and get us more and come back next month. I want them to come to the Board with all the information that the Board wants.

A. Bystrack: the prepared

K. Menici: You know I don't want to put people through hoops. If we tell them, gee sorry, you didn't get enough information and we send them away, then they start to get resentful and feel like they are being jerked around and I don't want people feeling that way.

A. Bystrack: That definitely makes it. . .

K. Menici: Especially for the small property owner, you know, it's a challenging enough process as it is, they are intimidated to begin with an I don't want to get them more so.

T. Kinnon: Absolutely.

K. Menici: I mean, you two in particular. . . .

M. Perry: All the way around it helps, it helps the Board

K Menici: Do you remember what it was like coming in yourselves?

T. Kinnon: Absolutely, I do.

L. Avery: I do.

K. Menici: I don't want that.

A. Bystrack: I've been through it myself several times.

K. Menici: It's something that's necessary, you know they have to do it, let's not make it anymore painful than it already is.

T. Kinnon: I think in like of everything that was discussed tonight, I think we need verbatim minutes of tonight. I'd like to see them anyway.

K. Menici: Now do you want verbatim minutes of all of the hearings or do you want the verbatim minutes of the other business portion.

T. Kinnon: The other business portion it.

K. Menici: So just do regular minutes for the 6 cases and then when we moved into the other business, and then we will do, yes. That should be a motion for the minutes.

Motion by T. Kinnon that the minutes for this evening for the 6 cases they are summarized and for all other business, they are verbatim. Seconded by Lyndon Avery. Vote unanimous.

T. Kinnon: I have one quick question, before we adjourn, were any of our meetings video taped? Somebody said they saw me on TV.

M. Perry: The Bahre one was.

T. Kinnon: I was never informed that I was video taped.

M. Perry: Yes, it was video taped.

T. Kinnon: Nobody ever told me, and I asked that question.

L. Avery: I saw the camera over there with a red light blinking and I didn't know, I just thought it was on.

T. Kinnon: I asked Keith Chamberlain if we were being video recorded and Keith said no none of these meetings are recorded.

A. Bystrack: Yes, you did. I remember that.

T. Kinnon: We have a problem then.

L. Avery: I wasn't aware.

T. Kinnon: We have a significant problem.

L. Avery: I wasn't aware we were being recorded that night either.

A. Bystrack: I didn't know either.

T. Kinnon: Yah, I need a copy of that tape or DVD.

A. Bystrack: Usually, Bob Longabower stands there.

T. Kinnon: There was nobody up there. I saw the camera equipment there and I asked Keith and he assumed not because the Board of Selectman's meeting was earlier and the Board of Selectmen's meetings are taped.

L. Avery: That's what I thought.

T. Kinnon: So this Board was video taped and broadcast without their knowledge.

A. Bystrack: That a violation.

T. Kinnon: That's a huge violation of my rights.

A. Bystrack: Constitutional rights.

K. Menici: You need to talk with the Town Administrator, cause I can honestly say I know nothing.

T. Kinnon: You didn't know it was video taped either that night?

K. Menici: No.

L. Avery: I saw it over there with the red light blinking but I figured it was left from the Selectmen's meeting.

K. Menici: That's what I thought.

M. Perry: There was a guy sitting there.

T. Kinnon: Was there someone sitting there? Cause when I noticed it, I mean I noticed the equipment when I walked in

M. Perry: There was a guy sitting on a chair over there.

T. Kinnon: No, no, well maybe down below, there was nobody at the equipment.

A. Bystrack: Yah, but I thought it was shut off, I didn't know it was on.

L. Avery: Those are not live broadcasts, they are recorded and played later.

T. Kinnon: Recorded and played later, but you still need people's permission to air

A. Bystrack: Or at least knowledge. . . .

T. Kinnon: Somebody mentioned it to me on Monday.

L. Avery: They recognized our shining faces.

A. Bystrack: I hope I looked okay and did okay and sounded alright.

Motion by T. Kinnon that we have the Town Administrator at the meeting on the 23rd, to find out what happened and who authorized this and why. **Motion not voted on.**

K. Menici: I've got to find my note pad.

T. Kinnon: Yes, that's not right.

K. Menici: I don't know what his schedule is. Oh, you know something, the 23rd, are we going to run into a Selectmen's meeting? We've got to avoid Monday nights.

T. Kinnon: Can we do this downstairs. It's going to be a small enough meeting.

M. Perry: I would think so.

T. Kinnon: I kind of thought Monday night, Board of Selectmen too, but I figured it was going to be small enough we could have it down stairs.

M. Perry: We can have it downstairs.

L. Avery: We can meet downstairs.

K. Menici: So anyway, 1/23

M. Perry: But we really do need to find out.

A. Bystrack: But we are audio taped.

T. Kinnon: Yes, we are audio taped, but we know we are being audio taped.

A. Bystrack: I don't know if that fits under the same category or not. I don't know.

T. Kinnon: I don't think so, cause you are broadcasting somebody's image.

A. Bystrack: Yes, I don't know.

T. Kinnon: I mean, nothing, I don't think anything is going to come of it, but I want to know who authorized it and who decided that it was a good thing to videotape us without our knowledge.

K. Menici: I'll be honest, this is the only Town that I am aware of that doesn't.

T. Kinnon: Oh, I think it should be and that's going to come up. I think we should be video taped, absolutely.

K. Menici: All the other, I mean when I worked in Portsmouth, all of the Land Use Boards actually, some of the meetings were actually shown live. And the Town of Conway, all of their Land Use Boards and even down to their Conservation Commission.

T. Kinnon: A lot of people actually watch those and then they actually see what transpired. They don't hear stories, rumors, because some of the rumors you know, that get out there are unbelievable.

Nothing like what got discussed that night. And so I think, but that's a whole other subject so if we could have the Town Administrator here on the 23rd.

K. Menici: I don't know if he is going to be available on the 23rd.

M. Perry: But we could find out what happened.

T. Kinnon: If you could find out what happened.

K. Menici: Do you want me to just be the messenger if he is available?

M. Perry: Just ask what, who made the decision and why wasn't everyone notified or why you were not notified so you could let us know.

K. Menici: Okay.

T. Kinnon: Yes, who decided it, why and why weren't we notified. So actually, yes, I'll modify that if you could just find that information for us.

A. Bystrack: I've been video taped many meetings, so it didn't really matter much.

T. Kinnon: Why am I being video taped, and I think it should be.

K. Menici: Do you want to clarify what the motion is?

Motion by T. Kinnon that the Town Planner find out or investigate and find why the ZBA was video taped, why we were not notified we were being video taped and who made that decision. Seconded by Marcella Perry, Vote unanimous.

Motion by T. Kinnon to adjourn. Seconded by L. Avery. Vote unanimous.

Respectfully submitted,

Carolyn B. Schaeffner
Recording Secretary