1	TOWN OF ALTON PLANNING BOARD
2	PUBLIC MEETING
3	Tuesday, January 21, 2025, at 6:00 PM
4	Alton Town Hall, 1 Monument Square, Alton, NH 03809
5	
6	MEMBERS PRESENT
7	Roger Sample, Member
8	Doug Brown, Member
9	Tom Diveny, Member
10	Mark Manning, Member
11	Nick Buonopane, Board of Selectmen's Representative
12	Christine O'Brien, Alternate Member
13	
14	OTHERS PRESENT
15	Jessie MacArthur, Town Planner
16	Paul Zuzgo, Prospect Mountain Survey
	Barry Gier, Jones and Beach Engineers
	Dave Fuller
19	John Cronin, Esq.
	Cindy Theriault, PE
	Rick Chellman, PE
	Richard Shay
23	Neal Stanley
24	
25	CALL TO ORDER
26	Mr. Sample called the meeting to order at 6:00 PM.
27	
28	Introductions were made of the Board members. Mr. Sample appointed Ms. O'Brien to sit on the
29	Board in the absence of Mr. Hillsgrove.
30	
	AGENDA REVIEW
	Ms. MacArthur stated the applicant for Case P24-37 has requested a continuance to the March
33	18, 2025 meeting; Case P25-03 applicant also requested a continuance to March 18.
34	
35	Ms. MacArthur requested the Board add review of edits to the August 20, 2024 meeting minutes
36	for potential name changes that need to take place.
37	
38	Ms. MacArthur added review of an invoice from Tara Bamford.
39	MODION TO A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
40	MOTION: To approve the agenda as amended. Motion by Mr. Buonopane. Second by Mr.
41	Mr. Diveny. Motion passed unanimously.
42	C
43	Continued from November 19, 2024

46

47 48

55

58

66

74

76

44 Proposal: To add additional area to locate a manufactured home and delineate an area to be 45 used for a seasonal-only accessory RV.

CONTINUED TO MARCH 18, 2025

49 Continued Completeness Review of Application and Public Hearing if Application is
 50 Accepted as Complete

Case #P24-48			
Prospect Mountain Survey, Paul Zuzgo, Agent for Bruce & Victoria Kennedy,	Map 7 Lot 4 597 Avery Hill Road	Final Minor Subdivision Rural Zone (RU)	
Owners			

- 51 Proposal: To subdivide lot of 180.65AC into 2 total lots, parent lot of 7.47AC and one lot of
- 52 173.19AC (.16 of the AC in Gilmanton).

54 Mr. Sample read the case into the record. The Board reviewed the application for completeness.

MOTION: To accept the application as complete. Motion by Mr. Manning. Second by Mr. Buonopane. Motion passed unanimously.

Paul Zuzgo, representative for the applicants, stated they want to subdivide a 7 acre lot from a 60 173 acre lot; there will be a shared driveway, which is existing. He stated a variance was

- 61 obtained from the Zoning Board of Adjustment for the minimum frontage requirement as much
- of the frontage is in the Town of Gilmanton while the acreage and driveway are in Alton. Mr.
- Zuzgo stated waivers were requested for delineating the wetlands as most of the lot is good soil and the nearby wetlands are on an abutting lot. He stated the soil that is poorly drained, he did
- not count in the upland amount.

The Board reviewed the waiver requests. It was confirmed there are no excessive slopes on the property; the 7 acre lot will have 360 feet of frontage in Alton; the large lot will have 49 feet of frontage in Alton and 152 feet in Gilmanton. It was noted that the ordinance is unclear whether the frontage has to be in Town but they obtained a variance anyways.

MOTION: To approve the waivers as requested under the Subdivision Regulations. Motion by Mr. Manning. Second by Mr. Sample. Motion passed unanimously.

- 75 Mr. Sample opened the hearing to input from the public. None was indicated.
- 77 MOTION: To approve the application for Case P24-48 for Bruce and Victoria Kennedy for
- 78 a Final Minor Subdivision for a two lot subdivision for property located at Map 7 Lot 4,
- 79 597 Avery Hill Road, including the conditions as laid out in the Planner Review. Motion by
- 80 Mr. Manning. Second by Mr. Buonopane. Motion passed unanimously.

81

82 Design Review (Continued from December 17, 2024)

Case #P24-49 Jones & Beach Engineers, Brad Jones, Agent for Overlook Properties, LLC	Map 9 Lot 6-1 Rte 28/436 Suncook Valley Road	Design Review Rural Zone (RU)
--	--	----------------------------------

Proposal: To construct a Self-Storage Facility consisting of seven (7) self-storage buildings, forty-four (44) 20'x48' units with onsite well and septic.

85

Barry Gier, PE, Jones and Beach Engineers, representative for the applicant, stated they are proposing a luxury storage facility. He stated the property is 5.5 acres in the rural zone; it is undeveloped with frontage on Suncook Valley Road. There would be 44 storage units with 7 buildings and a lounge area; the construction will be done in three phases. Each unit will include a bathroom with toilet and sink; there will be a storm water management system with catch and filtration basins. There will be a community water and septic system located in the center of the site. Mr. Gier stated the buildings are designed to have a New England design, having a maximum height of 28 feet high. He stated they calculate there will be less than one trip AM and PM, with the peak traffic being similar to a single family home. He clarified there will be no employees on site and each unit will be sold to individual owners as condos.

96

The Board reviewed comments from the Town Engineer. It was noted there are concerns about two driveways. Mr. Gier stated there are two on the lot currently but they will have a single driveway for the storage units; he confirmed the driveway plans have been discussed with NH DOT. Mr. Buonopane asked if there would be a kitchen in the common area. Mr. Gier stated it would be up to the property owners how they want to use the space; it will function as a condominium with a home owners association.

103

Mr. Diveny asked if the septic design has been designed and where snow will be stored. Mr. Gier stated the septic system will be small but hasn't been designed yet; they will ensure snow storage doesn't interfere with the septic.

107

Mr. Buonopane asked how far the closest unit would be from the Eagles Rest park. Mr. Gier stated it would be about 150 yards. It was confirmed the cupolas are included within the total building heights. Mr. Gier stated the parking spaces are not delineated on the paving area but there is plenty of space for the owners to park and access their units. He stated the construction materials for the buildings have not been determined but will have metal roofs; no floor plans were submitted. Mr. Gier distributed plans for review by the Board. He stated there are no plans for sprinklers in the units but he will look into that further.

115

Mr. Buonopane asked if there will be any use restrictions for the units. Mr. Gier stated the HOA agreement hasn't been developed but the intention is that the units will be used for storage; there is no intention to rent the meeting/common area. Mr. Diveny asked if outside storage will be allowed. Mr. Gier stated there won't be any outside storage. There will be a key card access only.

120

121 Mr. Sample opened the discussion to input from the public. None was indicated.

Completeness Review of Application and Public Hearing if Application is Accepted as 123 Complete 124

Case #P25-01		
Wilcox & Barton, Samyn-		
D'Elia Architects & Cronin,	Man 16 Late 19 8- 20	Final Major Subdivision
Bisson & Zalinsky, P.C.,	Map 16 Lots 18 & 20	Final Major Subdivision Rural Zone (RU)
Agents for The Lakes	00 Cherry Valley Road	Rurai Zone (RU)
Hospitality Group, Jeremy		
Martin, Signatory		

125 Proposal: To consolidate the two (2) parcels and then subdivide the parent lot into nineteen (19) 126 residential lots.

127

- 128 Mr. Sample read the case into the record. The Board reviewed the application for completeness
- as well as the waivers requested. Mr. Sample stated the Town Planner recommends that prior to
- review and acceptance by the Board, they move to require the Town Engineer to perform a
- detailed analysis on the potential zoning issues that have been raised regarding the percentage of
- 132 continuous buildable areas, steep slopes, lot sizes and other issues the Board believes is
- pertinent. 133

134

- 135 Mr. Sample stated he believes that if the Zoning Ordinance has specific requirements, those need
- to be met; the Town Engineer could be required to review the plans but if the plan is accepted,
- the applicants will be able to dispute any differences. Mr. Manning agreed that the documents
- were submitted as required and believes they should accept the submission and then discuss
- whether a review by the Town Engineer is needed. 139

140

- 141 Ms. MacArthur stated if the application is accepted as complete, they are accepting it as proper,
- but there are some potential issue with zoning; she explained one of the issues is that buildable
- area is being claimed, which is within setbacks but a variance would be needed for that. Mr.
- Sample stated they should review the plans to determine if variances are needed. 144

145

John Cronin, Esq., representative for the applicants, stated he recognizes there are unusual issues with this case but would like the Board to follow the procedure and accept the application.

148

- 149 Mr. Sample stated he is in favor of hearing the case. Mr. Manning stated the applicants have
- been diligent getting this through the system and while there may be legal issues that need to be
- resolved, he believes they can move forward. Mr. Buonopane questioned if the case needs to go
- before the Zoning Board of Adjustment first. Mr. Sample stated the Planning Board would not be
- 153 bound to anything by accepting the application.

154

- 155 Ms. MacArthur stated the only information that has been received from the Town Engineer,
- Tighe and Bond, has been regarding the storm water management and drainage issues, nothing
- 157 related to the lots.

158

- MOTION: To accept the application as complete. Motion by Mr. Sample. Second by Mr.
- Manning. Motion passed, 5-1-0. Mr. Buonopane opposed.

162 Mr. Sample opened the public hearing.

163

Ms. MacArthur called for a point of order, noting the Board needs to review the waiver requests before proceeding.

166

167 The Board reviewed the waiver requests.

168

- 169 MOTION: To accept the waiver request for Section VII.C.10, a and b. Motion by Mr.
- 170 Manning. Second by Mr. Diveny. Discussion: Ms. MacArthur stated this waiver will allow an
- 171 electronic set for Plan Set 2, which is 64 pages long; she stated the Town doesn't have a protocol
- 172 for electronic documents. Agent for the applicant offered to submit one set of the plans as well as
- 173 a thumb drive for an electronic copy. Ms. MacArthur agreed that would be acceptable.

174

175 Mr. Manning withdrew his motion.

176

MOTION: To accept the waiver request for Section VII.C.10, a and b with the requirement that the new plans submitted include one copy at the different scale than submitted. Motion by Mr. Manning. Second by Mr. Diveny. Motion passed unanimously.

180

- 181 Mr. Cronin stated this project was started over a year ago; the lot has elevation with views of the
- Lake and slopes down to Cherry Valley Road. He stated the plans by the owner were originally
- 83 to have an event venue with some lodging; they went before the Zoning Board of Adjustment
- and through that process, there was some objection from residents to the proposal. The ZBA
- suggested at that time they come back with new plans. Mr. Cronin stated the owner has decided
- to take a different path and to build a residential subdivision. He stated a site walk was done over
- 187 the summer with Town Manager and various department heads; he stated there were concerns by
- 188 the Department of Public Works regarding the covered bridge. Mr. Cronin stated the applicant
- moved forward with designing a 19 lot subdivision; at a previous hearing, it was determined
- 190 there is no regional impact although an abutter, Mr. Casale, raised an issue with the buildable
- 191 area calculations. Mr. Cronin stated the subdivision plans have been revised and the lots were
- reduced. He argued that the setbacks are not included in the buildable area within the ordinance;
- 193 he stated there is no language that clearly states that the frontage distances must be maintained to
- the back of the lot. He stated there will be a lot with amenities including a pool and pickle ball
- courts but they are willing to remove those if there are concerns. It was confirmed a traffic study
- was done; an Alteration of Terrain permit and Wetlands Permit have been submitted but they are
- 197 awaiting comments on those.

198

- 199 Mr. Sample stated he thinks the recreation areas are a good idea and should be kept in the plans.
- 200 He stated in regard to the frontage, he isn't aware of there being requirements of the width of a
- 201 lot except for the road frontage; he also doesn't agree with the buildable area having to be within
- 202 the setbacks.

- 204 Mr. Manning clarified that the ZBA did not express any opinion on the case; the concerns were
- 205 that the application and full components were not submitted on time. He stated there was
- question by the ZBA about a road that had been cut through; the applicant and representatives

indicated they did not know about the road but the builder eventually clarified he had done it 207 with a permit for logging purposes. 208

209

210 Cindy Theriault, PE, explained the calculations for the contiguous area include the areas within the setbacks for the wetlands and lot lines; the steep slopes were excluded from the calculations. She stated Mr. Chellman, an engineer for an abutter disagrees. Ms. Theriault stated there is 200 212 213 feet of frontage on every lot; the road will be 2500 feet long so that allows for 18 lots; the plans 214 meet the current requirements in the zoning ordinance. Mr. Manning asked for the specific calculations for the lots. Ms. Theriault stated they use a computer system for the calculations, 216 Autocad. She stated she doesn't disagrees with Mr. Chellman's concerns on some of the lots if 217 the setbacks weren't already taken out, but they did take those out already. Mr. Manning stated 218 its the counter position that some of the lots are disqualified because of setbacks, and others were disqualified because of frontage. Mr. Cronin stated they can provide a printout of all the CAD 220 sheets for each of the lots; he stated ultimately it will be a decision by Town Counsel as there are two opposing positions. He stated there is flexibility to redesign the lots of need be, based on

221

recommendations by Town Counsel. 222

223

Mr. Diveny asked Ms. MacArthur if Tighe and Bond would give an opnion on compliance. Ms. MacArthur stated Tighe and Bond has already given an opinion; she stated it was previously agreed to discuss the compliance with Town Counsel. 226

227

Mr. Manning suggested Ms. Theriault come back to the Board to address the nine lots that are 228 claimed by Mr. Chellman to be disqualified. Ms. Theriault stated she can provide a graphic for 229 each lot to show the area outside the setback, the area inside the setback and the area used for 230 calculating the contiguous area. Mr. Manning stated the data will be helpful to review. Ms. 231 232 Theriault stated they need to know how to comply with the ordinances so they can move forward. Mr. Manning stated he understands 200 feet to be the frontage regardless whether its a straight line; he stated 75% of the lot has to be buildable. Ms. MacArthur noted that 75% is of the minimum lot size, which in this zone, is 2 acres. Ms. Theriault agreed to bring more detailed 235 236 plans.

237

Mr. Divery suggested they still have Tighe and Bond review the plans to look for compliance 238 239 issues. Mr. Sample stated he doesn't agree; he stated they are being presented facts and they need to consider those. Mr. Buonopane stated it doesn't make sense to him to include a buildable area, in a setback, that you can't build in, without a variance. Mr. Sample stated setbacks are always 242 included in the total buildable area and those have never not been included. Mr. Manning stated 243 they don't have the data to know how much buildable area is in each lot. Ms. Theriault stated the contiguous area building plan indicates the areas and calculations used for each of the lots but it 244 doesn't show the area within the setbacks as a separate item. Mr. Diveny stated they also need to consider precedent with the application of the ordinances. Mr. Sample agreed it would be helpful 247 to have Tighe and Bond render a decision on the compliance issues as discussed, including the frontage, the buildable area and setbacks. Ms. Theriault stated she discussed the case with Dennis 248 Moran, of Tighe and Bond; they reviewed the engineering pieces, however, the zoning issues, 249 they decided to recommend to the Planning Board that Town Counsel should be the one to review the compliance issues as its not an engineering issue. The issues arise from a different 251 252 interpretation of the ordinances by different engineers.

253

- 254 Mr. Buonopane noted a letter from Attorney Miller for the abutters, dated January 15, 2025,
- suggests that 11 lots are disqualified. Mr. Sample stated the way the calculations were done by
- 256 Mr. Chellman aren't consistent with how the Town has done it for years but it can be up to Town
- 257 Counsel to make that determination; he stated Ms. Theriault has shown how she determined if
- 258 the lots are qualified.

259

260 Mr. Sample opened the hearing to input from the public.

261

Richard Shay, resident, suggested the regulations need to be amended to make it clear if setbacks are buildable area; he asked if each structure that also has to have a well and septic, also has the buildable area reduced by those.

265

- Rick Chellman stated he is an engineer as well as a licensed land surveyor; he stated he agrees with having Town Counsel reviewing the plans to make a determination on the areas used for the
- 268 calculations. He stated the ordinance is clear that the buildable area has to be contiguous and
- doesn't believe that the setbacks are part of that area. He noted that per the rules of procedure,
- 270 the Board is obligated to deny an application if its deficient and not in compliance with zoning.
- 271 Mr. Chellman stated he believes there are deficiencies with both the plans as well as how its been
- 272 presented; he stated width of frontage is not the same as width of lot as referenced in his and Mr.
- 273 Miller's letter. He stated per the NH Supreme Court, wetlands and setbacks can't be counted for
- buildable area; this applies to all towns in the State. He stated there is also an issue with the
- 275 length and width of some of the lots, which should be addressed with a waive request but the
- 276 zoning issues are significant. Mr. Chellman stated his client was interested in purchasing the
- 277 property at one time but not currently; he was tasked with checking to see if the plans are in
- 278 conformance with the zoning ordinances.

279

280 Mr. Sample asked if the information presented by Mr. Chellman regarding the use of setbacks, 281 would change their position. It does not.

282

- 283 Mr. Manning asked how Mr. Chellman is calculating the frontages and lot lines. Mr. Chellman
- 284 stated the ordinance has three different frontage requirements for street frontage but this zone
- adds a requirement for contiguous buildable land in addition to the 2 acres and 200 feet of width
- of frontage at the street. He stated if lot lines are angled at the street, the width of frontage isn't
- there. Mr. Sample stated the distance between point A to point B is going to be the same whether
- 288 the lines are angled. Mr. Chellman argued that you can't have width without the straight lines
- and it the width of frontage is different than the width of the lot.

290291

Mr. Sample closed the hearing to input from the public.

292

293 The Board agreed to continue the case to the next meeting, February 18, 2025.

294

Case #P25-02
Prospect Mountain Survey,
Paul Zuzgo, Agent for

Bethal Builders, Owner

Map 5 Lot 3-2 Stockbridge Corner Road Final Minor Subdivision Rural Zone (RU) 295 *Proposal: To subdivide an 8.25AC lot into 2 total lots, parent lot of 5.55AC and one lot of*

296 2.71AC.

297

299

302

307

309

321

333

336337

338

298 Mr. Sample read the case into the record. The Board reviewed the application for completeness.

MOTION: To accept the application as complete. Motion by Mr. Manning. Second by Mr. Buonopane. Motion passed unanimously.

Paul Zuzgo, representative for the applicant, stated it is a 8.25 acre lot to be divided in to two lots. He stated there are a lot of wetlands on the lot but both lots will have the required minimum of contiguous upland, per the ordinance; this excludes the wetlands and 25% slopes. He noted the State requires 25,000 square feet of soil for a septic system.

308 Mr. Sample opened the hearing to input from the public.

Neal Stanley, abutter, stated he is concerned about damage to his property if houses are built on these lots. He stated it is very wet on the lot and it would flow down toward his property; he stated there is not adequate drainage at the road due to ledge. The existing driveway is mud and poor drainage. Mr. Stanley stated the swamp flows over the property and could end up in his yard; he stated there is no where for the water that is on the property now, to go if they start building a house. He stated there are problems with beavers in the swamp and the overflow affects this lot and his lot. Mr. Sample stated in the past Mr. Stanley has dealt with the issue in the past and that wouldn't change. Mr. Stanley argued that of a house is built and the new owner won't let them deal with the beavers, there could be more problems. He has knocked down the beaver dam a couple times; he questions where the water on the lot is going to be diverted. He stated there are problems with drainage on the road as well.

Mr. Zuzgo explained they can't let any more water off the lot than already exists; he stated they will have to redirect all the impervious runoff. Mr. Stanley stated the lot is lower than the swamp. Mr. Zuzgo stated the water on the lot will have to be addressed for the building permit as well as with the permit for the septic system with NH DES; an Alteration of Terrain permit will also be required. Mr. Sample stated the builder is only responsible for problems he creates; a drainage plan will be required for the water on the lot. Mr. Stanley stated he wants assurance that the water won't be diverted to his property; he stated nothing will improve unless something is done about the swamp on the property. Mr. Sample reiterated drainage reports will be needed with the building permit process. Mr. Stanley stated he wants to be kept informed through the building permit process. Mr. Brown suggested they include conditions with the approval to ensure a drainage study and plan are done.

MOTION: To approve Case 25-02, Map 5, Lot 3-2, for a Final Minor Subdivision, with the condition that before a building permit is issued, a drainage study and plan be completed, with conditions precedent. Motion by Mr. Brown. Second by Mr. Diveny. Motion passed unanimously.

339 **Design Review**

Case #P25-03		
Changing Seasons		Major Site Plan/Design
Engineering, Stephanie	Map 15 Lot 9-3-1	Review
Richard, Agent for Richard	Miramichie Hill Road	Residential Rural Zone
Lundy, Owner		(RR)
-		

340 Proposal: To construct a contractor yard including garage, parking/storage areas and 341 associated utilities.

343 CONTINUED UNTIL MARCH 18, 2025, PER APPLICANT

345 Other Business

342

344

347

349

352

354

357

367

369

372

375

346 OLD BUSINESS:

348 **NEW BUSINESS:**

350 <u>Case #P24-50</u>: Building Permit Permission Request/BP for Island Property, Lloyd Brown, Map 351 78 Lot 21, 442 Rattlesnake Island, Lakeshore Residential Zone (LR)

353 The Board reviewed the permit application.

MOTION: To pas Case 24-50 to the Board of Selectmen. Motion by Mr. Sample. Second by Mr. Buonopane. Motion passed unanimously.

358 Approval of Minutes:

- Meeting of December 17, 2024: Edits were made. MOTION: To approve the minutes as amended. Motion by Mr. Sample. Second by Mr. Brown. Motion passed unanimously.
- Meeting of August 20, 2024: Ms. MacArthur stated on pages 9 and 10, Mr. Cronin was referred to as "Mr. Blanc" and a member of the public has requested the Board consider amending the minutes to properly reflect who speaking was at the meeting. MOTION: To rescind the prior approval to make edits. Motion by Mr. Manning. Second by Mr. Brown. Motion passed unanimously.

368 Edits were made.

MOTION: To approve the minutes as amended. Motion by Mr. Manning. Second by Mr. Brown. Motion passed unanimously.

373 Correspondence for the Board's review/discussion/action:

Board to discuss releasing the performance security for the Jillian Byrne Subdivision.

Ms. MacArthur explained the Town Engineer prepared an updated bond for the new owners; the Board reviewed the information presented.

379 MOTION: To approve the monies owned, \$26,260.77, plus interest, to the Jillian Byrne 380 Subdivision, subject to the new owner securing a bond to cover future liability. Motion by Mr. Manning. Second by Mr. Buonopane. Motion passed unanimously. 381 382 **Correspondence for the Board's information:** 383 Breakdown of invoices for the Planning & Zoning Assistant, Tara Bamford 384 385 The Board reviewed the invoices as presented. 386 387 Correspondence for the Board's information on State Permit Applications: None. 388 389 **ADJOURN** 390 391 392 MOTION: To adjourn the meeting. Motion by Mr. Buonopane. Second by Mr. Diveny. Motion passed unanimously. 393 394 The meeting was adjourned at 9:37 PM. 395 396 Respectfully Submitted, 397 398 399 Jennifer Riel, Recording Secretary