APPROVED 5-2-2024

1	TOWN OF ALTON	
2 ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT		
3	PUBLIC HEARING MEETING	
4 Thursday, March 7, 2024, at 6:00 P.M.		
5	Alton Town Hall	
6		
7	MEMBERS PRESENT	
, 8	Thomas Lee, Chair	
9	Frank Rich, Vice Chair	
10	Mark Manning, Member	
11	Joe Mankus, Alternate Member	
12		
13	OTHERS PRESENT	
14	Jessica Call, Town Planner	
15	Robin McClain, Land Use Assistant	
16	Steven & Karen Letellier, applicants	
17	Paul Zuzgo, representative for applicants	
18	William Jones, applicant	
19	Melissa Brock, applicant	
20	Steven Boccelli, applicant	
21	Tom Varney, Varney Engineering, LLC, representative for the applicants	
22	Thomas & Katharine Golembeski, applicants	
23	Brad Jones, Jones and Beach Engineers, representative for the applicants	
24	Dennis Ryan, applicant	
25		
26	CALL TO ORDER	
27	Mr. LaRochelle called the meeting to order at 6:03 PM.	
28		
29	INTRODUCTION OF BOARD MEMBERS	
30	Roll Call was taken for the Board members and individuals present at Town Hall.	
31	A DRAINTMENT OF A LTERNATES	
32	APPOINTMENT OF ALTERNATES	
33	Chair Lee stated an alternate will be needed for this meeting.	
34 25	MOTION: To have Mr. Mankus sit on the Board for this meeting. Motion by Mr. Rich.	
35 26	Second by Mr. Manning. Motion passed unanimously.	
36 37	Second by 1911, 1914ming, 1910ubil passed unannibusiy.	
37 38	STATEMENT OF THE APPEAL PROCESS	
30 39	The purpose of this hearing is to allow anyone concerned with an Appeal to the Zoning Board of	
40	A direction of this hearing is to allow ally one concerned with all Appear to the Zonnig Board of	

- 40 Adjustment to present evidence for or against the Appeal. This evidence may be in the form of
- 41 an opinion rather than an established fact, however, it should support the grounds that the Board
- 42 must consider when making a determination. The purpose of the hearing is not to gauge the
- 43 sentiment of the public or to hear personal reasons why individuals are for or against an appeal,
- 44 but all facts and opinions based on reasonable assumptions will be considered. In the case of an

- 45 appeal for a Variance, the Board must determine facts bearing upon the five criteria as set forth
- 46 in the State's Statutes. For a Special Exception, the Board must ascertain whether each of the
- 47 standards set forth in the Zoning Ordinance have been or will be met.

48 APPROVAL OF AGENDA

- 49 Ms. Call asked that Case #Z24-14 be heard after #Z24-07 as these cases are related.
- 50

51 MOTION: To approve the agenda as amended. Motion by Mr. Morgan. Second by Mr.

- 52 Mankus. Motion passed unanimously.
- 53
- 54 CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARINGS
- 55

Case #Z24-06	Map 4 Lot 17-1-1	Variance
Prospect Mountain Survey, Paul Zuzgo, LLS,	432 Dudley Road	Rural (RU) Zone
Agent for Steven & Karen Letellier, Owners		

A Variance is requested from Article 400 Section 452.B to permit road frontage less than 200' for a
 new lot of record.

58

59 Chair Lee read the public notice into the record. The Board reviewed the application for

- 60 completeness.
- 61

MOTION: To accept the application as complete. Motion by Mr. Manning. Second by Mr. Mankus. Motion passed unanimously.

64

65 Paul Zuzgo, representative for the applicants, stated this project is for a boundary line

adjustment; he explained when this lot was created in 1979, the zoning required 150 feet of

67 frontage. There isn't a way for the adjustment to meet the 200 foot minimum. It was noted the lot

- 68 currently has 167 feet of frontage.
- 69

Chair Lee stated the house and lot have existed for many years; the house is within the setbacks
and the variance is needed to create a new lot. Mr. Zuzgo stated the applicant wants to sell Parcel

A to the owner in the back. It will take the lot from just under 2 acres to over 3 acres.

74 Chair Lee opened the hearing to input in favor of the application from the public.

76 Steven Letellier, applicant, stated a new lot isn't being created but it is an extension of boundary.

78 Chair Lee opened the hearing to input in opposition to the application from the public. None was79 indicated.

80 81

75

77

1 Chair Lee closed the public hearing.

82

83 Discussion Case #Z24-06

84 The Board must find that all the following conditions are met in order to grant the Variance:

- 85 Chair Lee stated granting the variance *would not* be contrary to the public interest. He stated this
- is a simple situation where the lot is being extended and it meets the criteria for the amount of
- 87 years since the lot was created; it is not a new building. The Board agreed.
- 88 Mr. Manning stated the request *is* in harmony with the spirit of the ordinance and the intent of
- the Master Plan to maintain the health, safety and character of the direct district within which it
- 90 is proposed. He stated it is only for a lot line adjustment and it is a reasonable request. The Board
- 91 agreed.
- 92 Mr. Mankus stated that by granting the variance, substantial justice *will be* done. He stated he
- believes the benefit to the homeowner is not outweighed by the impact on the public. The Boardagreed.
- Mr. Rich stated the values of surrounding properties *will not be* diminished. No evidence was
 presented to indicate that property values would be diminished. The Board agreed.
- 97 Chair Lee stated that for the purposes of this subparagraph, "unnecessary hardship" means that,
 98 owing to special conditions of the property that distinguish it form other properties in the area:
- 99 i. No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of
 100 the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the
 101 property;
- 102 ii. *The proposed use is a reasonable one.*
- 103 Chair Lee stated the use is reasonable. He stated the use is not changing and the lot predates the
- 104 zoning ordinance. The Board agreed.

MOTION: To grant the request for a variance for Case #24-06. Motion by Mr. Manning. Second by Mr. Mankus. Motion passed unanimously.

107

Case #Z24-07	Map 4 Lot 17-1-1	Equitable Waiver
Prospect Mountain Survey, Paul Zuzgo, LLS,	432 Dudley Road	Rural (RU) Zone
Agent for Steven & Karen Letellier, Owners		

108 An **Equitable Waiver** is requested from **Article 300 Section 327.A** to permit encroachment of 109 existing house and shed.

- 110
- 111 Chair Lee read the public notice into the record. The Board reviewed the application for
- 112 completeness.
- 113

MOTION: To accept the application as complete. Motion by Mr. Manning. Second by Mr. Mankus. Motion passed unanimously.

- 116
- 117 Paul Zuzgo, representative for the applicants, stated the house has been on this lot for 200 years;
- 118 when it was created the house was within the setback and with the creation of the new lot, an
- equitable waiver is needed for the portion of the house being within the setback. It was
- 120 confirmed there are no plans to expand the house.
- 121
- 122 Ms. Call suggested the waiver needs to include the shed that is also outside the property

- boundaries into the setback. The shed is roughly 9' by 10'; about $\frac{3}{4}$ of the shed is within the side
- setback. Mr. Zuzgo noted the shed could be moved and Mr. Letellier is willing to do so.
- 125
- 126 Chair Lee opened the hearing to input in favor of the application from the public. None was 127 indicated.
- 127 128
- 129 Chair Lee opened the hearing to input in opposition to the application from the public. None was130 indicated.
- 131
- 132 Chair Lee closed the public hearing.
- 133
- 134 Discussion Equitable Waiver of Dimensional Requirements:
- 135 a) That the violation was not noticed or discovered by any owner, former owner, owner's agent
- 136 or representative, or municipal official, until after a structure in violation had been substantially
- 137 completed, or until after a lot or other division of land in violation had been subdivided by
- 138 *conveyance to a bona fide purchaser for value.*
- 139 The Board agreed.
- b) That the violation was not an outcome of ignorance of the law or ordinance, failure to inquire,
- 141 obfuscation, misrepresentation, or bad faith on the part of any owner, owner's agent or
- 142 representative, but was instead caused by either a good faith error in measurement or
- 143 calculation made by an owner or owner 's agent or representative, or by an error in ordinance
- 144 *interpretation or applicability made by a municipal official in the process of issuing a permit*
- 145 *over which that official had authority;*
- 146 The Board agreed.
- 147 *c)* That the physical or dimensional violation does not constitute a public or private nuisance,
- nor diminish the value of other property in the area, nor interfere with or adversely affect any present or permissible future uses of any such property.
- 150 Mr. Rich noted there was no objection by any abutters. The Board agreed.
- 151 *d)* That due to the degree of past construction or investment made in ignorance of the facts
- 152 constituting the violation, the cost of correction so far outweighs any public benefit to be gained,
- 153 *that it would be inequitable to require the violation to be corrected.*
- 154 The Board agreed.

158

155 MOTION: To grant the request for an equitable waiver for Case #24-07 with the condition

- 156 that the shed is moved within the setback. Motion by Mr. Manning. Second by Mr.
- 157 Mankus. Motion passed unanimously.
 - Case #Z24-14Map 4 Lot 17-1VarianceProspect Mountain Survey, Paul Zuzgo, LLS,
Agent for Terrance & Rhonda Armstrong,
OwnersMap 4 Lot 17-1VarianceRural Zone (RU)422 Dudley RoadRural Zone (RU)

- A Variance is requested from Article 400 Section 452.B to permit road frontage less than 200' for a new lot of record.
- 161
- 162 Chair Lee read the public notice into the record. The Board reviewed the application for 163 completeness.
- 163 164

MOTION: To accept the application as complete. Motion by Mr. Manning. Second by Mr. Mankus. Motion passed unanimously.

167

Paul Zuzgo, representative for the applicants, stated this lot was subdivided from the parent lot in
2003 and the zoning was still 150 feet for frontage. He stated because a new lot is being created,
a variance is needed as the lot only has 198 feet. It was confirmed all setbacks are met.

- 171
- 172 Chair Lee noted no concerns were indicated by Department Heads; the Conservation
- 173 Commission is concerned about setbacks but based on the information presented, there are no
- issues. He stated per the memo from the Planning Board, approval for a boundary line
- adjustment between lots 17 and 17-1 would be required as a condition for approval by the ZBA.
- 177 Chair Lee opened the hearing to input in favor of the application from the public. None was178 indicated.
- 179

180 Chair Lee opened the hearing to input in opposition to the application from the public. None was181 indicated.

- 182
- 183 Chair Lee closed the public hearing.
- 184
- 185 Discussion Case #Z24-14
- 186 The Board must find that all the following conditions are met in order to grant the Variance:
- 187 Chair Lee stated granting the variance *would not* be contrary to the public interest. He stated
- there is less than a 2 foot difference between the current ordinance requirements. The Boardagreed.
- 190 Mr. Manning stated the request <u>is</u> in harmony with the spirit of the ordinance and the intent of 191 the Master Plan to maintain the health, safety and character of the direct district within which it
- is proposed. He stated it is a minor change and it is a reasonable request. The Board agreed.
- Mr. Mankus stated that by granting the variance, substantial justice <u>will be</u> done. The Board
 agreed.
- 195 Mr. Rich stated the values of surrounding properties *will not be* diminished. No evidence was
- 196 presented to indicate that property values would be diminished. The Board agreed.
- 197 Chair Lee stated that for the purposes of this subparagraph, *"unnecessary hardship" means that,*
- 198 *owing to special conditions of the property that distinguish it form other properties in the area:*

- i. *No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of* 199 the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the 200 201 property;
- The proposed use is a reasonable one. 202 ii.
- Chair Lee stated the use is reasonable. He stated the use is not changing and the lot predates the 203
- zoning ordinance. The Board agreed. 204

MOTION: To grant the request for a variance for Case #24-14. Motion by Mr. Rich. 205

- Second by Mr. Mankus. Motion passed unanimously. 206
- 207

	Case #Z24-08	Map 42 Lot 1	Vorionaa
			Variance
	The 77 Sawmill Brook Road Trust,	77 Sawmill Brook Road	Lakeshore Residential (LR) Zone
	Robert & William Jones and Melissa		
00	Brock, Trustees	Section 261.2 to normality have	althouse longer then 260 SE
)8)9	A Variance is requested from Article 300 288 SF is requested.	Section 361.5 to permit a bui	nknouse larger man 200 SF.
10	288 SF Is lequested.		
11	Chair Lee read the public notice into the r	ecord The Board reviewed	the application for
12	completeness.	ecolu. The Bound Tevrewed	the uppreation for
13	compreteness.		
14	MOTION: To accept the application as	s complete. Motion by Mr.	Manning. Second by Mr.
15	Rich. Motion passed unanimously.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
16			
17	Mr. Jones stated the property has been in hi	s family for five generations	and will remain so; they
18	would like to build a new bunkhouse to rep	lace the current one and increase	ease the size to 288 square
9	feet which would allow for the addition of a		
20	these. The access to these facilities requires	U I	
21	additional square footage is for the addition	of the half bath. The existing	g building was built in 1966.
22			
23	Mr. Rich noted the proposed bunkhouse wi	.	
24 25	a rectangle. Mr. Jones stated the reconfigur	ation will move the structure	back out of the setback.
.5 :6	Chair Lee opened the hearing to input in t	favor of the application from	m the public. None was
.0 27	indicated.	avor of the application, nor	in the public. None was
	indicated.		
9	Chair Lee opened the hearing to input in a	opposition to the application	from the public None was
0	indicated.		
1			
2	Chair Lee closed the public hearing.		
33			
34	Discussion Case #Z24-08		
5	The Board must find that all the following	o conditions are met in order	r to grant the Variance.

- 236 Chair Lee stated granting the variance *would not* be contrary to the public interest. He stated its
- a small amount of square footage and the structure is being moved further back from the setback
 to be more conforming. The Board agreed.
- 239 Mr. Manning stated the request *is* in harmony with the spirit of the ordinance and the intent of
- the Master Plan to maintain the health, safety and character of the direct district within which it
- is proposed. He stated the new bunkhouse will be more compliant than the existing structure.
- The Board agreed.
- Mr. Mankus stated that by granting the variance, substantial justice <u>will be</u> done. The Board
 agreed.
- 245 Mr. Rich stated the values of surrounding properties *will not be* diminished. No evidence was 246 presented to indicate that property values would be diminished. The Board agreed.
- Chair Lee stated that for the purposes of this subparagraph, "unnecessary hardship" means that,
 owing to special conditions of the property that distinguish it form other properties in the area:
- i. No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of
 the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the
 property;
- 252 ii. *The proposed use is a reasonable one.*
- 253 Chair Lee stated the use is reasonable and the use is not changing. The Board agreed.

MOTION: To grant the request for a variance for Case #24-08. Motion by Mr. Manning. Second by Mr. Mankus. Motion passed unanimously.

256

Case #Z24-09	Map 42 Lot 1	Variance		
The 77 Sawmill Brook Road Trust,	77 Sawmill Brook Road	Lakeshore Residential (LR) Zone		
Robert & William Jones and Melissa				
Brock, Trustees				
A Variance is requested from Article 300 Section 361.1.a to permit inclusion of sink and toilet in a				
bunkhouse.	-			

258 259

257

- 260 Chair Lee read the public notice into the record. The Board reviewed the application for 261 completeness.
- 261 0

MOTION: To accept the application as complete. Motion by Mr. Manning. Second by Mr. Rich. Motion passed unanimously.

- 265
- Mr. Jones explained they want to add the facilities for ease of use, particularly by the older family members as its difficult to get down the steep slope to the other facilities on the property.
- 268
- 269 Ms. Dimitri stated per the property file, there are three buildings on the property including a 700
- square foot camp with two bedrooms, two camps with one bedroom each and the bunkhouse; that is a
- total of four bedrooms and the septic approval from September 2023 states there are three bedrooms.
- 272 Mr. Jones noted the details of all the buildings are listed on the NH DES application and the system

- was designed appropriately.
- Chair Lee opened the hearing to input in favor of the application from the public. None wasindicated.
- 277
- Chair Lee opened the hearing to input in opposition to the application from the public. None wasindicated.
- 280
- 281 Chair Lee closed the public hearing.
- 282
- 283 Discussion Case #Z24-09
- 284 The Board must find that all the following conditions are met in order to grant the Variance:

Chair Lee stated granting the variance <u>would not</u> be contrary to the public interest. He stated it will be a benefit with the upgrade to add the sink and toilet with a new septic system; it will be further from the setback. The Board agreed.

- 288 Mr. Manning stated the request *is* in harmony with the spirit of the ordinance and the intent of
- the Master Plan to maintain the health, safety and character of the direct district within which it
- is proposed. He stated the new bunkhouse will be more compliant than the existing structure.
- 291 The Board agreed.
- 292 Mr. Mankus stated that by granting the variance, substantial justice *will be* done. He stated the
- 293 property is being improved for future generations. Mr. Rich stated because of the exceptional
- decline from the bunkhouse to the facilities, it makes sense to make these accommodations. The
- Board agreed.
- 296 Mr. Rich stated the values of surrounding properties <u>will not be</u> diminished. No evidence was
 297 presented to indicate that property values would be diminished. The Board agreed.
- Chair Lee stated that for the purposes of this subparagraph, "unnecessary hardship" means that,
 owing to special conditions of the property that distinguish it form other properties in the area:
- i. No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of
 the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the
 property;
- 303 ii. *The proposed use is a reasonable one.*
- Chair Lee stated the use is reasonable and the use is not changing; there is an overall upgrade tothe septic system. The Board agreed.
- 306 Chair Lee confirmed the plans show the encroachment on the side setback; an additional
- variance is needed along with a survey unless the bunkhouse is moved out of the side setback,
- 308 which it; a notarized document must be recorded at the Belknap County Registry of Deeds
- 309 specifying the bunkhouse use restrictions. These items will be part of the building permit
- 310 process.

APPROVED 5-2-2024

311 Chair Lee opened the hearing to input from the public. None was indicated.

312 MOTION: To grant the request for a variance for Case #24-09. Motion by Mr. Manning.

- 313 Second by Mr. Rich. Motion passed unanimously.

The Lakes Hospitality Group, LLC, Jeremy Martin, Signatory	Map 16 Lot 18 & 20 Cherry Valley Road	
A Special Exception is requested from Ar	ticle 300 Section 334 to j	permit a Commercial Function
Facility in the Rural Zone.		
CONTINUED To May 2, 2024		
Case #Z24-11	Map 16 Lot 18 & 20	
The Lakes Hospitality Group, LLC, Jeremy Martin, Signatory	Cherry Valley Road	I Rural (RU) Zone
A Variance is requested from Article 400		access to two proposed lots apart
from with road where the street frontage is	<i>claimed</i> less than 200' .	
CONTINUED To May 2, 2024		
Case #Z24-12	Map 16 Lot 18 & 20	Variance
The Lakes Hospitality Group, LLC,	Cherry Valley Road	I Rural (RU) Zone
Joromy Montin Signatory		
		unattached ADU that will be
A Variance is requested from Article 300 occupied by a person or persons other than <i>CONTINUED To May 2, 2024</i>		unattached ADU that will be
A Variance is requested from Article 300 occupied by a person or persons other than CONTINUED To May 2, 2024 NEW APPLICATIONS	the owner.	
A Variance is requested from Article 300 occupied by a person or persons other than <i>CONTINUED To May 2, 2024</i> <u>NEW APPLICATIONS</u> Case #Z24-15 Steven Boccelli, Agent for New State		unattached ADU that will be Special Exception Residential Commercial Zone (RC)
A Variance is requested from Article 300 occupied by a person or persons other than <i>CONTINUED To May 2, 2024</i> <u>NEW APPLICATIONS</u> Case #Z24-15 Steven Boccelli, Agent for New State Realty Management, Owners A Special Exception is requested from Ar	the owner. Map 34 Lot 39 404 Main Street (REAR)	Special Exception Residential Commercial Zone (RC)
A Variance is requested from Article 300 occupied by a person or persons other than <i>CONTINUED To May 2, 2024</i> <u>NEW APPLICATIONS</u> Case #Z24-15 Steven Boccelli, Agent for New State Realty Management, Owners	the owner. Map 34 Lot 39 404 Main Street (REAR)	Special Exception Residential Commercial Zone (RC)
A Variance is requested from Article 300 occupied by a person or persons other than <i>CONTINUED To May 2, 2024</i> <u>NEW APPLICATIONS</u> Case #Z24-15 Steven Boccelli, Agent for New State Realty Management, Owners A Special Exception is requested from Ar	the owner. Map 34 Lot 39 404 Main Street (REAR) ticle 400 Section D.1 An	Special Exception Residential Commercial Zone (RC) nusement Use: Indoor, to permit
A Variance is requested from Article 300 occupied by a person or persons other than <i>CONTINUED To May 2, 2024</i> <u>NEW APPLICATIONS</u> Case #Z24-15 Steven Boccelli, Agent for New State <u>Realty Management, Owners</u> A Special Exception is requested from Ar indoor arcade. Chair Lee read the public notice into the r completeness.	the owner. Map 34 Lot 39 404 Main Street (REAR) ticle 400 Section D.1 An record. The Board review	Special Exception Residential Commercial Zone (RC) nusement Use: Indoor, to permit wed the application for
A Variance is requested from Article 300 occupied by a person or persons other than <i>CONTINUED To May 2, 2024</i> <u>NEW APPLICATIONS</u> Case #Z24-15 Steven Boccelli, Agent for New State <u>Realty Management, Owners</u> A Special Exception is requested from Ar indoor arcade. Chair Lee read the public notice into the read	the owner. Map 34 Lot 39 404 Main Street (REAR) ticle 400 Section D.1 An record. The Board review	Special Exception Residential Commercial Zone (RC) nusement Use: Indoor, to permit wed the application for
A Variance is requested from Article 300 occupied by a person or persons other than <i>CONTINUED To May 2, 2024</i> <u>NEW APPLICATIONS</u> Case #Z24-15 Steven Boccelli, Agent for New State <u>Realty Management, Owners</u> A Special Exception is requested from Ar indoor arcade. Chair Lee read the public notice into the r completeness. MOTION: To accept the application as Mankus. Motion passed unanimously.	the owner. Map 34 Lot 39 404 Main Street (REAR) ticle 400 Section D.1 And record. The Board review s complete. Motion by 2	Special Exception Residential Commercial Zone (RC) nusement Use: Indoor, to permit wed the application for Mr. Manning. Second by Mr.
A Variance is requested from Article 300 occupied by a person or persons other than CONTINUED To May 2, 2024 NEW APPLICATIONS Case #Z24-15 Steven Boccelli, Agent for New State Realty Management, Owners A Special Exception is requested from Ar indoor arcade. Chair Lee read the public notice into the r completeness. MOTION: To accept the application as Mankus. Motion passed unanimously.	the owner. Map 34 Lot 39 404 Main Street (REAR) ticle 400 Section D.1 And record. The Board review s complete. Motion by 1 e from the property owned	Special Exception Residential Commercial Zone (RC) nusement Use: Indoor, to permit wed the application for Mr. Manning. Second by Mr. er, New State Realty, and he
A Variance is requested from Article 300 occupied by a person or persons other than CONTINUED To May 2, 2024 <u>NEW APPLICATIONS</u> Case #Z24-15 Steven Boccelli, Agent for New State <u>Realty Management, Owners</u> A Special Exception is requested from Ar indoor arcade. Chair Lee read the public notice into the r completeness. MOTION: To accept the application as	the owner. Map 34 Lot 39 404 Main Street (REAR) ticle 400 Section D.1 And record. The Board review s complete. Motion by 2 e from the property owned by had a one day permited fr. Boccelli stated he ho	Special Exception Residential Commercial Zone (RC) nusement Use: Indoor, to permit wed the application for Mr. Manning. Second by Mr. er, New State Realty, and he during the Winter Carnival and pes to create the nostalgia the

345

Mr. Rich asked what the hours of operation will be for the arcade. Mr. Boccelli stated it would 346 by 8:00 AM to 10:00 PM, seven days per week; there will be an employee on the premises and 347 rotating cleaners to keep it clean. It will be strictly the arcade with no refreshments provided. Mr. 348 Rich noted the Highway Department has concerns about the NH DOT request for the Town to 349 move the crosswalk from the busy corner at Main Street to Route 11/Rand Hill. NH DOT is also 350 351 asking that the access point for the store be restricted to minimize traffic entering and exiting due to the crosswalk location. It was noted the changes could impact traffic and use. Mr. Boccelli 352 stated it could hinder and cause a hardship for the property owner but he doesn't see a problem 353 354 with the current use.

355

Chair Lee noted the Water Department indicated concerns about a need for water however the 356 357 plans indicate there is no need at this time. If that changes in the future, the applicant would need to meet all the necessary criteria. It was confirmed the building will remain in the current 358 footprint; the office space will not be considered retail space. 359

360

Ms. Call stated there are some sections of the Site Plan requirements including traffic and 361 parking standards which may come into play. Mr. Boccelli stated they received roughly 300 362 363 signatures on a petition to indicate favor and support for this application.

364

365 Ms. Call outlined her summary of the case as she wasn't able to submit a Planner Review prior to the meeting; she stated she is concerned there is no septic system on site; the current system 366 has capacity for just the corner store; it will be up to the Planning Board to address. She stated 367 parking may become an issue based on comments from the Highway Department; there is also a 368 369 section that addresses the use of public funds and a large expenditure to prevent traffic problems. She stated there is a lot of work between the Highway Department and NH DOT to address the 370 371 parking and traffic issues. Chair Lee noted there has been a retail business at this location for the last couple summers but that fell under a different type of permit. 372

373

374 Mr. Rich stated no matter what is done in this area, there could be a parking issue but it has been 375 enhanced with the new parking on the opposite side of the road.

- 377 Chair Lee opened the hearing to input in favor of the application from the public.
- 378

376

379 Christy Painchaud, resident, stated she is a member of the Alton Business Association and would

like to see this business to the community; she stated it will give visitors more to do in the area. 380 She agreed parking could be an issue but there have been numerous events throughout the year

381 382 that attract many more people to the area and they make it work. She stated as a mom and

- business owner, it would be a great addition. 383
- 384

385 Chair Lee opened the hearing to input in opposition to the application from the public. None was indicated. 386

387

388 Chair Lee closed the public hearing.

- 390 The Board worked through the Special Exception worksheet.
- 391

392 Discussion – Case #Z24-15

- The Board must find that all the following conditions are met in order to grant the SpecialException:
- Chair Lee stated that a plat/plan <u>has</u> been submitted in accordance with the appropriate criteria in
 the Zoning Ordinance, Article 520.B. The Board agreed.
- 397 Mr. Mankus stated the specific site is an appropriate location for the use. The Board agreed.
- 398 Mr. Manning stated that actual evidence **is not** found that the property values in the district will
- be reduced due to incompatible land uses. No factual evidence was submitted to indicate values
- 400 would be diminished. The Board agreed.
- 401 Mr. Rich stated there **is no** valid objection from abutters based on demonstrable fact. He stated 402 there was no objection from abutters or any other objections. The Board agreed.
- 403 Chair Lee stated there **is no** undue nuisance or serious hazard to pedestrian or vehicular traffic,
- 404 including the location and design of accessways and off-street parking. He stated it has been
- noted from the Highway Department that there will need to be a further review in regard to the
- 406 Town working with NH DOT as far as the crosswalk is concerned. The Board agreed.
- 407 Mr. Mankus stated adequate and appropriate facilities and utilities <u>will</u> be provided to ensure the 408 proper operation of the proposed use or structure. He stated further review will come from the
- 409 Planning Board regarding this. The Board agreed.
- 410 Mr. Manning stated there is adequate area for safe and sanitary sewage disposal and water
- 411 supply; this will be addressed by the Planning Board. The Board agreed.
- 412 Mr. Rich stated the proposed use or structure is consistent with the spirit of this ordinance and
- the intent of the Master Plan. He stated the area in Alton Bay will only be improved and
- 414 enhanced by allowing the addition of an arcade and small business. Mr. Manning stated it will
- 415 also add recreation. The Board agreed.

416 MOTION: To grant the request for a Special Exception for Case #Z24-15. Motion by Mr.

- 417 Manning. Second by Mr. Mankus. Motion passed unanimously.
- 418

Case #Z24-16	Map 74 Lot 40	Variance
Varney Engineering, LLC, Agent for Thomas & Katharine Golembeski	248 Sleeper Island	Lakeshore Residential Zone (LR)
Rev Living Trust, Owners		

- A Variance is requested from Article 327 Section A.3 Setback Requirements, to permit expansion ofexisting cottage within the setback.
- 420
- 422 Chair Lee read the public notice into the record. The Board reviewed the application for
- 423 completeness.
- 424

425 MOTION: To accept the application as complete. Motion by Mr. Manning. Second by Mr.

426 Mankus. Motion passed unanimously.

427

428 Tom Varney, Varney Engineering, LLC, representative for the applicants, stated the property is on Sleeper Island and the applicants have owned it since 1980; they want to add a 10 foot by 10 429 foot addition for a bathroom. He stated as the applicants have mobility issues, the addition will 430 be provide a more accessible and safer bathroom location in the cottage. The building size 431 increases from 1293 square feet to 1390 square feet. The lot size coverage increases from 19.1 to 432 433 19.5%. The existing septic system will remain; a NH DES approved septic design will be obtained in the event of failure but there is no increase in follow to the system as the house will 434 remain three bedrooms. The existing water supply will remain seasonal from the lake and a NH 435 DES Shoreline permit is required. The land has been surveyed. Mr. Varney stated a letter in 436 support was received from an abutter, as long as a visual buffer between the properties is 437 438 maintained.

439

Mr. Varney explained after the lot was surveyed, it was determined the best location would be as 440 proposed but it will be 2 feet from the lot line. It was confirmed the additional bathroom will be 441 442 tied into the existing septic system. Mr. Rich asked why the addition wasn't put on the other side

of the building. Mr. Golembeski explained the kitchen is on that side; there is a ledge there as

443 well and a steep grade. There will still be an encroachment on the back setback. Mr. Rich noted 444 445 it would be less of an encroachment. Mr. Golembeski explained how the cottages were situated on

- the lot as well as the abutting lot; it would appear that when the cottages were built many years ago, 446 it was assumed the property line ran straight up from the water, but it does not. 447
- 448

Mr. Manning asked whether the Board should consider the future and potential owners, with the 449 450 bathroom being so close to the property line. Mr. Golembeski stated the abutting cottage is also close to the property line. Mr. Rich stated if a new owner wanted to tear down the cottage and move it, 451 there would be many things required and they would buy it knowing the location of the cottage. He 452 453 noted the existing owner of the abutting lot has agreed to the addition and would have to disclose the 454 situation if they sold the property. Chair Lee agreed with considering abutters. Mr. Golembeski stated the abutting lot is higher than his so it won't affect a view. 455

456

457 Chair Lee opened the hearing to input in favor of the application from the public. None was 458 indicated. 459

- Chair Lee opened the hearing to input in opposition to the application from the public. None was 460 461 indicated.
- 462

Chair Lee closed the public hearing. 463

464

465 The Board reviewed the Department Head comments.

466

Discussion Case #Z24-16 467

The Board must find that all the following conditions are met in order to grant the Variance: 468

Chair Lee stated granting the variance *would not* be contrary to the public interest. He stated the 469

abutter is in favor of this proposal. The Board agreed. 470

- 471 Mr. Manning stated the request <u>is</u> in harmony with the spirit of the ordinance and the intent of
- the Master Plan to maintain the health, safety and character of the direct district within which it
- is proposed. He stated there is a need for this addition and rational was given for the location.
- The Board agreed.
- 475 Mr. Mankus stated that by granting the variance, substantial justice *<u>will be</u>* done. Mr. Rich stated
- the hardship the applicant would endure, outweighs any kind of substantial justice to be done for
- 477 this particular case. The Board agreed.
- 478 Mr. Rich stated the values of surrounding properties *will not be* diminished. No evidence was
 479 presented to indicate that property values would be diminished. The Board agreed.
- 480 Chair Lee stated that for the purposes of this subparagraph, "unnecessary hardship" means that, 481 owing to special conditions of the property that distinguish it form other properties in the area:
- 482 i. No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of
 483 the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the
 484 property;
- 485 ii. *The proposed use is a reasonable one.*
- 486 Chair Lee stated the use is reasonable. He stated there are topographical concerns with this
- 487 property and the way the house is situated within the property lines. The Board agreed.

488 MOTION: To grant the request for a variance for Case #24-16. Motion by Mr. Manning. 489 Second by Mr. Mankus. Motion passed unanimously.

490

491 492

Case #Z24-17 Varney Engineering, LLC, Agent for Thomas & Katharine Golembeski Rev Living Trust, Owners	Map 74 Lot 40 248 Sleeper Island	Variance Lakeshore Residential Zone (LR)	
A Variance is requested from Article 320 Section H.1 Nonconforming Structures, to permit			
expansion of existing cottage, which will make a non-conforming structure more non-conforming.			

- 493494 Chair Lee read the public notice into the record. The Board reviewed the application for
- 495 completeness.
- 496

497 MOTION: To accept the application as complete. Motion by Mr. Manning. Second by Mr. 498 Mankus. Motion passed unanimously.

- 499
- 500 Mr. Varney stated the building is nonconforming because it is within the 30 foot setback; the 501 corner of the building is within the 10 foot setback; anything added makes the nonconforming 502 building, more nonconforming. He noted the State Shoreline Protection Act is 50 feet but the 503 Town has a setback of 30 feet; he confirmed the structure is not moving closer to the lake. Mr. 504 Varney stated NH DES will also need to approve this with a Shoreline Permit. Mr. Rich stated he 505 disagrees with the concerns by the Conservation Commission as the entire existing house is
- 506 nonconforming. He stated this case is a hardship and the abutter has agreed to the proposal.
- 507

- 508 Chair Lee opened the hearing to input in favor of the application from the public. None was 509 indicated.
- 510
- 511 Chair Lee opened the hearing to input in opposition to the application from the public. None was 512 indicated.
- 513
- 514 Chair Lee closed the public hearing.
- 515
- 516 The Board reviewed the Department Head comments.
- 517
- 518 *Discussion Case #Z24-17*
- 519 The Board must find that all the following conditions are met in order to grant the Variance:
- 520 Chair Lee stated granting the variance *would not* be contrary to the public interest. He stated the
- based on topographical concerns of the property, it is the best
- 522 fit to make it a workable situation for the applicant. The Board agreed.
- 523 Mr. Manning stated the request <u>is</u> in harmony with the spirit of the ordinance and the intent of
- the Master Plan to maintain the health, safety and character of the direct district within which it
- 525 is proposed. He stated there is a need for this addition and rational was given for the location.
- 526 Mr. Rich stated this is in line with the Master Plan, particularly with regard to the health and
- 527 safety of the applicant. The Board agreed.
- 528 Mr. Mankus stated that by granting the variance, substantial justice *will be* done. The Board 529 agreed.
- 530 Mr. Rich stated the values of surrounding properties *will not be* diminished. No evidence was 531 presented to indicate that property values would be diminished. The Board agreed.
- 532 Chair Lee stated that for the purposes of this subparagraph, "*unnecessary hardship*" means that, 533 *owing to special conditions of the property that distinguish it form other properties in the area:*
- *i.* No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of
 the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property;
- 537 ii. *The proposed use is a reasonable one.*
- 538 Chair Lee stated the proposed use is reasonable. The Board agreed.

539 MOTION: To grant the request for a variance for Case #24-17. Motion by Mr. Rich.

- 540 Second by Mr. Mankus. Motion passed unanimously.
- 541

Case #Z24-18	Map 51 Lot 8	Special Exception
Jones & Beach Engineers, Inc., Brad	111 Route 11D	Lakeshore Residential Zone (LR)
Jones, Agent for Dennis Ryan, Owner		

- A Special Exception is requested from Article 300 Section 320.F to permit the replacement of an
- 543 existing manufactured home for nonconforming use that is voluntarily removed.
- 544

- 545 Chair Lee read the public notice into the record. The Board reviewed the application for 546 completeness.
- 547

548 MOTION: To accept the application as complete. Motion by Mr. Manning. Second by Mr. 549 Mankus. Motion passed unanimously.

550

551 Brad Jones, Jones and Beach Engineers, representative for the applicant, presented the plans; he 552 stated it's a 25 mile per hour road; there are no sigh distance issues. He stated there is a steep grade at the back of the lot and large boulders; the well has been installed. Mr. Jones stated a full boundary 553 survey was done for the lot. He stated the house has been pushed back as far as possible. There will 554 be a new NH DES approved septic system; the parking area will be over the chamber system. The 555 property is 0.20 acres and the manufactured home that is currently on the lot is outdated and not to 556 557 code; the owner wants to replace it with something that is better looking aesthetically and meets 558 current building codes. Mr. Jones stated Mr. Ryan notified neighbors and abutters; no negative comments were received. 559

560

566

569

561 Mr. Rich asked where the access is from Route 11D. Mr. Jones stated there is an existing access way 562 and explained the new location of the septic system would provide better parking. He stated the 563 property is currently nonconforming, the setback on the front will be improved but the property will 564 still be nonconforming. He noted the property is not within the shoreline district; the existing house is 565 two bedrooms and the proposed will be two bedrooms.

- 567 Mr. Ryan stated the property has been in their family since 1948 and they would like to keep it in the 568 family.
- 570 Chair Lee opened the hearing to input in favor of the application from the public. None was571 indicated.
- 572

575

577

573 Chair Lee opened the hearing to input in opposition to the application from the public. None was574 indicated.

- 576 Chair Lee closed the public hearing.
- 578 The Board worked through the Special Exception worksheet.
- 579
- 580 Discussion Case #Z24-18

The Board must find that all the following conditions are met in order to grant the Special
Exception:

- 583 Chair Lee stated that a plat/plan <u>has</u> been submitted in accordance with the appropriate criteria in
 584 the Zoning Ordinance, Article 520.B. The Board agreed.
- 585 Mr. Mankus stated the specific site <u>is an appropriate location for the use</u>. He stated it is currently
- 586 a house lot and the house is being replaced. Mr. Rich stated the new house will be less 587 nonconforming than the existing house. The Board agreed.
- 588 Mr. Manning stated that actual evidence **is not** found that the property values in the district will

- be reduced due to incompatible land uses. No factual evidence was submitted to indicate values
 would be diminished. Mr. Rich stated the values will only go up with a new home. The Board
 agreed.
- 592 Mr. Rich stated there **is no** valid objection from abutters based on demonstrable fact. He stated 593 there was no objection from abutters or any other objections. The Board agreed.
- 594 Chair Lee stated there **is no** undue nuisance or serious hazard to pedestrian or vehicular traffic, 595 including the location and design of accessways and off-street parking. He stated nothing is
- 596 changing in regard to traffic. The Board agreed.
- 597 Mr. Mankus stated adequate and appropriate facilities and utilities will be provided to ensure the 598 proper operation of the proposed use or structure. He stated the new house will meet current 599 building codes. The Board agreed.
- 600 Mr. Manning stated there <u>is</u> adequate area for safe and sanitary sewage disposal and water 601 supply; there will be a new NH DES approved septic system. The Board agreed.
- 602 Mr. Rich stated the proposed use or structure **is** consistent with the spirit of this ordinance and
- 603 the intent of the Master Plan. He stated the applicant and his family have owned the property
- since 1948 and a home was put on the lot in 1967 and the intent is to improve the property. Mr.
- 605 Manning stated the protections for the environment will also be improved with the new septic
- 606 system. The Board agreed.

607 MOTION: To grant the request for a Special Exception for Case #Z24-18. Motion by Mr.

- 608 Manning. Second by Mr. Mankus. Motion passed unanimously.
- 609

Case #Z24-19	Map 51 Lot 8	Special Exception
Jones & Beach Engineers, Inc., Brad	111 Route 11D	Lakeshore Residential Zone (LR)
Jones, Agent for Dennis Ryan, Owner	4. 1. 200 S. 4 220 T	
Special Exception is requested from Art xisting, nonconforming manufactured hon		
hair Lee read the public notice into the i	record The Board revi	ewed the application for
ompleteness.		ewed the upplication for
MOTION: To accept the application as	s complete. Motion by	Mr. Manning, Second by Mr
Rich. Motion passed unanimously.	s complete. Motion by	Mir. Manning, Second by Mir.
Pred Jones, Jones and Deach Engineers, re-	procentative for the appl	icant avalation of the
Brad Jones, Jones and Beach Engineers, repetic system that can be parked on to utilize		icani, explained the design of the
Chair Lee opened the hearing to input in t	favor of the application	from the public. None was
ndicated.	ravor of the application	i nom me public. None was
Chair Lee opened the hearing to input in o	opposition to the appli	pation from the public. None was
ndicated.	opposition to the applic	auon nom me public. None was
Chair Lee closed the public hearing.		
shan 200 crosod the public houring.	Dogo 16 of 91	

- 629630 The Board worked through the Special Exception worksheet.631
- 632 *Discussion Case #Z24-19*
- 633 *The Board must find that all the following conditions are met in order to grant the Special*634 *Exception:*
- 635 Chair Lee stated that a plat/plan <u>has</u> been submitted in accordance with the appropriate criteria in 636 the Zoning Ordinance, Article 520.B. The Board agreed.
- 637 Mr. Mankus stated the specific site is an appropriate location for the use. The Board agreed.
- 638 Mr. Manning stated that actual evidence **is not** found that the property values in the district will
- be reduced due to incompatible land uses. No factual evidence was submitted to indicate valueswould be diminished. The Board agreed.
- 641 Mr. Rich stated there **is no** valid objection from abutters based on demonstrable fact. The Board 642 agreed.
- 643 Chair Lee stated there is no undue nuisance or serious hazard to pedestrian or vehicular traffic,
- 644 including the location and design of accessways and off-street parking. The Board agreed.
- 645 Mr. Mankus stated adequate and appropriate facilities and utilities **will** be provided to ensure the 646 proper operation of the proposed use or structure. The Board agreed.
- 647 Mr. Manning stated there is adequate area for safe and sanitary sewage disposal and water
- supply; there will be a new NH DES approved septic system. The Board agreed.
- 649 Mr. Rich stated the proposed use or structure <u>is</u> consistent with the spirit of this ordinance and 650 the intent of the Master Plan. The Board agreed.

651 MOTION: To grant the request for a Special Exception for Case #Z24-19. Motion by Mr.

- 652 Manning. Second by Mr. Mankus. Motion passed unanimously.
- 653

Case #Z24-20	Map 51 Lot 8	Variance
Jones & Beach Engineers, Inc., Brad	111 Route 11D	Lakeshore Residential Zone (LR)
Jones, Agent for Dennis Ryan, Owner		

A Variance is requested from Article 300 Section 320.J.3 & 4 to allow the replacement and expansion of an existing nonconforming manufactured home within the 25' front setback.

- 656
- 657 Chair Lee read the public notice into the record. The Board reviewed the application for
- 658 completeness.
- 659

MOTION: To accept the application as complete. Motion by Mr. Manning. Second by Mr. Mankus. Motion passed unanimously.

662

663 Mr. Jones stated the home is going to be pushed back from the setback and will be less

- 664 nonconforming. They developed plans to meet the requirements the best they could with the
- 665 conditions of the property of grading and boulders. There would be more lot disturbance with a
- retaining wall if they moved it back further. The existing house is 12.5 feet from the setback; the

- proposed is 14 feet. The structure is 13.5 feet wide.
- 669 Chair Lee opened the hearing to input in favor of the application from the public. None was 670 indicated.
- 671

668

- 672 Chair Lee opened the hearing to input in opposition to the application from the public. None was673 indicated.
- 674
- 675 Chair Lee closed the public hearing.
- 676
- 677 Discussion Case #Z24-20
- 678 The Board must find that all the following conditions are met in order to grant the Variance:

679 Chair Lee stated granting the variance *would not* be contrary to the public interest. He stated the 680 house will become less nonconforming. The Board agreed.

- 681 Mr. Manning stated the request *is* in harmony with the spirit of the ordinance and the intent of
- 682 the Master Plan to maintain the health, safety and character of the direct district within which it 683 is proposed. He stated this will be an upgrade to the property. The Board agreed.
- 684 Mr. Mankus stated that by granting the variance, substantial justice *will be* done. The Board 685 agreed.
- 686 Mr. Rich stated the values of surrounding properties *will not be* diminished. No evidence was 687 presented to indicate that property values would be diminished. The Board agreed.
- 688 Chair Lee stated that for the purposes of this subparagraph, "*unnecessary hardship*" means that, 689 *owing to special conditions of the property that distinguish it form other properties in the area:*
- i. No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of
 the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the
 property;
- 693 ii. *The proposed use is a reasonable one.*
- 694 Chair Lee stated the use is reasonable. The Board agreed.

MOTION: To grant the request for a variance for Case #24-20. Motion by Mr. Manning. Second by Mr. Mankus. Motion passed unanimously.

697

8 / /	Map 51 Lot 8 111 Route 11D	Variance Lakeshore Residential Zone (LR)
Jones, Agent for Dennis Ryan, Owner		

A Variance is requested from Article 300 Section 350.A to allow the replacement of a manufactured

home 13' in width, outside of a manufactured home park, where 14' is required.

700

701 Chair Lee read the public notice into the record. The Board reviewed the application for

702 completeness.

MOTION: To accept the application as complete. Motion by Mr. Manning. Second by Mr. Mankus. Motion passed unanimously.

706

Mr. Jones stated the proposed home is 13 feet 4" wide; he stated there will be an overhang on themetal roof which is approximately 4" on each side.

- 709
- Chair Lee opened the hearing to input in favor of the application from the public. None wasindicated.
- 712
- Chair Lee opened the hearing to input in opposition to the application from the public. None wasindicated.
- 715

717

- 716 Chair Lee closed the public hearing.
- 718 Discussion Case #Z24-21
- 719 The Board must find that all the following conditions are met in order to grant the Variance:
- 720 Chair Lee stated granting the variance *would not* be contrary to the public interest. He stated the721 house will become less nonconforming. The Board agreed.
- 722 Mr. Manning stated the request *is* in harmony with the spirit of the ordinance and the intent of
- the Master Plan to maintain the health, safety and character of the direct district within which itis proposed. The Board agreed.
- Mr. Mankus stated that by granting the variance, substantial justice *will be* done. The Board
 agreed.
- Mr. Rich stated the values of surrounding properties <u>*will not be*</u> diminished. No evidence was
 presented to indicate that property values would be diminished. The Board agreed.
- 729 Chair Lee stated that for the purposes of this subparagraph, "*unnecessary hardship*" means that, 730 *owing to special conditions of the property that distinguish it form other properties in the area:*
- *i.* No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of *the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property;*
- 734 ii. *The proposed use is a reasonable one.*
- 735 Chair Lee stated the use is reasonable. The Board agreed.

MOTION: To grant the request for a variance for Case #24-21. Motion by Mr. Manning. Second by Mr. Mankus. Motion passed unanimously.

738

Case #Z24-22 Jones & Beach Engineers, Inc., Brad	Map 44 Lot 24 201 Black Point	Equitable Waiver Lakeshore Residential Zone (LR)
Jones, Agent for BMH Black Point,	Road	
LLC, Owner		

739 An Equitable Waiver is requested from Article 300 Section 327.A.2 to permit a garage within the

740 setbacks. 741 Chair Lee read the public notice into the record. The Board reviewed the application for 742 743 completeness. Ms. Call confirmed architectural drawings are not needed for this case. 744 MOTION: To accept the application as complete. Motion by Mr. Manning. Second by Mr. 745 Mankus. Motion passed unanimously. 746 747 748 Brad Jones, Jones and Beach Engineers, representative for the applicant, stated boundary surveys 749 were done last summer; at that point there was a foundation that was already poured, and it was 750 found that it did not meet the front setbacks. He stated the setback is 25 feet from the road and the foundation is 24.2 feet, so less than 1 foot. Mr. Jones stated the building is framed and 751 beyond the point of moving back. Ms. Call asked if the applicants received approval to have the 752 garage on the lot. Mr. Jones stated he didn't begin working with the applicants until after the 753 754 garage was laid out and foundation poured. 755 Mr. Rich asked if there is a sewer system on the lot. Mr. Jones stated the system was designed 756 757 and installed before he was working with them, and it is for the house across the street. He explained the regrading done to the driveway and confirmed access to the garage; he also 758 explained there is an easement which makes it 60 feet from the building to the edge of the road. 759 760 Chair Lee opened the hearing to input in favor of the application from the public. None was 761 indicated. 762 763 Chair Lee opened the hearing to input in opposition to the application from the public. None was 764 765 indicated. 766 Chair Lee closed the public hearing. 767 768 769 **Discussion - Equitable Waiver of Dimensional Requirements:** 770 a) That the violation was not noticed or discovered by any owner, former owner, owner's agent or representative, or municipal official, until after a structure in violation had been substantially 771 completed, or until after a lot or other division of land in violation had been subdivided by 772 773 conveyance to a bona fide purchaser for value. 774 The Board agreed. b) That the violation was not an outcome of ignorance of the law or ordinance, failure to inquire, 775

- 776 obfuscation, misrepresentation, or bad faith on the part of any owner, owner's agent or
- 777 representative, but was instead caused by either a good faith error in measurement or
- calculation made by an owner or owner 's agent or representative, or by an error in ordinance
- interpretation or applicability made by a municipal official in the process of issuing a permit
- 780 *over which that official had authority;*

The Board agreed.

- *c) That the physical or dimensional violation does not constitute a public or private nuisance,*
- nor diminish the value of other property in the area, nor interfere with or adversely affect any
- 784 *present or permissible future uses of any such property.*
- 785 The Board agreed.
- 786 *d)* That due to the degree of past construction or investment made in ignorance of the facts
- 787 constituting the violation, the cost of correction so far outweighs any public benefit to be gained,
- that it would be inequitable to require the violation to be corrected.
- 789 Mr. Rich stated the structure is already built and the costs to remove and reconstruct would be790 enormous. The Board agreed.

MOTION: To grant the request for an equitable waiver for Case #24-22. Motion by Mr. Manning. Second by Mr. Mankus. Motion passed unanimously.

- 793794 OTHER BUSINESS
- 795 **1.** Previous Business: None.
- 796 **2.** New Business:
 - **a.** Review and discuss updates to Town of Alton ZBA By-Laws and Appeals Application Guide. *Postponed*.
 - **b.** Review and discuss ZBA Decision Criteria Variances from the NHMA. *Postponed.*
 - 3. Approval of Minutes: ZBA meeting minutes of February 14, 2024 Postponed.
- **4.** Correspondence: None.

806 807 **ADJOURN**

- MOTION: To adjourn the meeting. Motion by Mr. Rich. Second by Mr. Mankus. Motion
 passed unanimously.
- 810

797 798

799

800

801 802

803 804

- 811 The meeting was adjourned at 9:07 PM.
- 812813 Respectfully Submitted,

814 Jennifer Riel

815 Jennifer Riel, Recording Secretary